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ABSTRACT

To understand the interactions between Notch1 and Ikaros in the evolution of T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL), we traced the evolution of T-ALL in mice with an inherited Ikaros mutation, Ikaros (loct), which inactivates DNA binding. DNA-binding Ikaros repressed Notch1 response in transfected cell lines and in CD4+8+ (DP) thymocytes from young pre-leukemic Ikaros (loct) heterozygous mice. In DP thymocytes, a 50–1000 fold escalation in mRNA for Notch1 target genes Hes1 and Dlx1 preceded thymic lymphoma or leukemia and was closely correlated with the first detectable differentiation abnormalities, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) eliminating wild-type Ikaros, and multiple missense and truncating Notch1 mutations. These findings illuminate the early stages of leukemogenesis by demonstrating progressive exaggeration of Notch1 responsiveness at the DP thymocyte stage brought about by multiple mutations acting in concert upon the Notch1 pathway.

1. Introduction

T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) is an aggressive malignancy that has been associated with recurrent mutations in a number of regulators of immature T cell differentiation, proliferation and survival including the genes encoding NOTCH1, LMO2, HOXA11, LYL1, and TAL1 [1,2]. Notch1 is a receptor that undergoes proteolytic cleavage to release its intracellular domain (ICN) as a transcription regulator, which serves a key role in normal thymocyte differentiation and is a frequent target of gain-of-function mutations in T-ALL. Notch1 was identified at a recurrent (7;9) (q34;34.3) translocation in T-ALL that fuses the 3’ portion of NOTCH1 to the TCR-β locus, leading to expression of constitutively active forms of the Notch1 receptor (TAN1) [3]. This translocation is present in <1% of human T-ALL but several studies have provided evidence that Notch signalling in T cell leukemias and lymphomas is often elevated even in the absence of genomic rearrangements [4,5]. Somatic mutations that alter the NOTCH1 protein-coding sequence have been found in more than 50% of human T-ALL with diverse molecular subtypes [6]. These were found largely in the extracellular heterodimerization (HD) domain, and truncating or missense mutations in the C-terminal PEST domain that increase stability of ICN [6–10]. The extent to which these individual NOTCH1 mutations in T-ALL confer a growth advantage or malignant transformation is unclear. T-ALL have been described with multiple NOTCH1 mutations in cis altering the HD and C-terminal domains, and these have been shown to exaggerate NOTCH1 activity in tissue culture in an additive manner and to function cooperatively for leukemogenesis in vivo [7,9–12]. Some T-ALL NOTCH1 mutations are sufficient for promoting leukemogenesis while others are only sufficient for leukemogenesis in conjunction with other mutations, raising the question of how many cooperating events precede the first outgrowth of a leukemic T cell clone [13–16].

Notch1 heterodimers comprising noncovalently associated extracellular domain (NEC) and transmembrane domains (NTM) are produced by the cleavage of pro-Notch1 by a furin-like protease during transit to the cell surface [17]. Activation of Notch receptors occurs upon binding of Notch Delta-Serrate-Lag2 (DSL) ligands to the EGF repeat region of the NEC leading to cleavage at S2 in the extracellular portion of NTM, creating a short-lived membrane-bound NTM which is subject to mono-ubiquitination by
FBXW7 to promote endocytosis and cleavage by γ-secretase at the S3 site which releases the intracellular domain of NOTCH1 [17]. The ICN then translocates into the nucleus and associates with the transcription factor CSL or RBP-Jκ and Mastermind-like 1-3 [17]. This complex activates transcription of Notch target genes including Myc, Pten, Hes1, Nkx, Hes1, PreTα [18]. Not only mutations in NOTCH1 itself can lead to leukemogenesis, cooperating mutations in Notch target genes [19] and regulators (e.g. FBXW7 [20,21] and Ikaros [22–24]) have been identified.

Mice with germline mutations that inactivate the transcriptional regulator Ikaros (gene symbol Ikf1) develop T-ALL exclusively [23,25] and the resulting malignancies often have activating NOTCH1 mutations [6,23,24]. Ikf1 somatic mutations are also frequently found in combination with NOTCH1 mutations in mouse retrovirus-promoted T-ALL [18] and in human B-ALL and T-ALL [26,27]. Short, dominant negative forms of Ikaros generated by alternative splicing have also been found in human T-ALL [28,29] although this has not been found in other T-ALL series [30]. Ikaros mRNA can undergo alternative splicing to yield a range of isoforms some of which lack DNA binding domains (e.g. Ikf1 4–9 isoforms) and so are unable to function as transcriptional regulators but dimerize to act as dominant negative inhibitors of full length Ikaros [31]. Ikaros mutations select for the expression of these short dominant-interfering splice forms.

The relationship between Ikaros and Notch1 in thymocyte differentiation and leukemogenesis is incompletely understood. Full length Ikaros and RBP-Jκ recognize the same DNA binding sequence where Ikaros can inhibit RBP-Jκ binding and stimulation of transcription [22], but it is not known if Ikaros simply acts as a competitive repressor of Notch-target genes. Animal models of T-ALL provide a unique opportunity to study the cooperating events needed for the initial outgrowth of leukemic T cells, but so far studies have focussed on the malignant phase when overt leukemia and lymphadenopathy have ensued. Here, by analysing pre-leukemic stages in the evolution of T-ALL in mice with an inactivating Ikaros point mutation, we present evidence that Ikaros negatively regulates the Notch1 pathway at the DP thymocyte stage. The first detectable clonal outgrowth occurs selectively within the thymus around the DP stage of development, closely correlated with somatic loss of the remaining wild-type Ikaros allele, a 10–1000 fold increase in mRNA from Notch-response genes, and accumulation of somatic Notch1 mutations. These results indicate that multiple mutations are needed and act cooperatively to activate the Notch1 pathway before pre-leukemic thymocyte outgrowth becomes detectable.

2. Methods

2.1. Animals

Ikf1Lox/lox mice were bred with C57BL/6J background (Jackson Laboratory) and maintained in the Australian Phenomics Facility and all procedures were approved by the Australian National University Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee.

2.2. Flow cytometry

Lymphoid tissues were prepared as single cell suspensions in ice-cold PBS/10% FCS and stained with fluorochrome labelled monoclonal antibodies prior to FACS analysis on a FACS Calibur flow cytometer and the data analysed with FlowJo (Tree Star) software.

2.3. Real time RT-PCR

DP thymocytes were sorted on FACSaria/Vantage (Becton Dickinson) and were ≥99% pure and total RNA was isolated using TriZol reagent (1 ml per 5–10×10⁶ cells) and reverse transcribed to cDNA using SUPERSCRIPT First Strand cDNA Synthsiis kit (Invitrogen). Samples were stored at −20°C prior to use. Real-time RT-PCR was performed using the TaqMan® Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems) on 384-well plate platform on the ABI PRISM® 7900HT (Applied Biosystems). Relative quantification of mRNA level was performed using the comparative cycle threshold (CT) following the manufacturer’s instruction.

2.4. Analysis of Ikaros LOH

Genomic DNA samples were prepared from sorted cells from Ikf1fl/fl and Ikf1fl/fl mice and DNA was analysed for the loss of the wild type Ikaros allele by ME-PCR [32] and Amplifluor® PCR End-point fluorescence intensity for the PCR products were analysed by FLUoStar OPTIMA (BMG Labtech). Positive controls included DNA from Ikf1fl/fl and Ikf1fl/fl embryos that was used to generate a standard curve. Using the standard curve it was possible to calculate the percentage of the wild type allele within the Ikf1fl/fl samples.

2.5. Exonic sequencing for Notch1 mutations

Mutation detection in exons 26, 27 and 34 of Notch1 was performed via PCR using primers specific for exons 26, 27 and 34 of Notch1 gene:

- Exon 26 Forward (E26F): 5′ AGCAGACACACTGAAAACAC′ 3′
- Exon 26 Reverse (E26R): 5′ GATGGCAGTACGCTCATCTC′ 3′
- Exon 27 Forward (E27F): 5′ CTCGAGCCTGATTACT′ 3′
- Exon 27 Reverse (E27R): 5′ GTCCATGTGTCTTCAGC′ 3′
- Exon 34 Forward (E34F): 5′ TCTCTCTAGTACCTCTGTC′ 3′
- Exon 34 Reverse (E34R): 5′ CCAACAGCGCTTGGGAAAC′ 3′

PCR cycles included 97°C for 3 min, 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 59°C for 60 s, extension at 72°C for 90 s. PCR products (5 μl) were visualised on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel with 5× DNA loading dye. The amplification was repeated in triplicate. The PCR products were purified using PureLink™ PCR Purification Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was eluted in 50 μl of water.

2.6. Sequencing

PCR products were gel purified using PureLink™ Quick Gel Extraction Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was dissolved into 50 μl of water and the concentration determined by NanoDrop (ND1000). Addition of 3' adenine to the amplified product was performed prior to cloning the product into TOPO TA Cloning® System (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasmid DNA was transformed into One Shot® Chemically competent E. coli cells (Invitrogen) with a 30 s heat shock at 42°C followed by incubation at 37°C for 1 h. 10–50 μl of each transformation was spread on a selective plate containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin (Invitrogen) and X-gal (Invitrogen) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Approximately 12 white or light blue colonies per plate were picked and cultured on 96-well growth block (Invitrogen) containing LB media with 100 μg/ml ampicillin. Purified plasmids of twelve clones per tumour sample were sequenced in the Biomedical Research Facility of John Curtin School of Medical Research.

2.7. Luciferase assays

Hes1-Luciferase (Hes-1-Luc) [33] and Hey1-Luc reporter gene [34] used contain Hes1 promoter and Hey1 promoter regions, respectively. Each promoter region contains two RBP-Jκ binding sites. NF-E2B cells were grown in DMEM containing 10% FCS. Transfections were performed in C2C12 cells using LipofectAMINE and Plus reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Luciferase assay transfections in 12-well trays contained 14 ng of CMV-Renilla plasmid, 350 ng of Hes1-Luc or Hey1-Luc and 350 ng of each expression plasmid (pCMX-ICN, pCMX-Ikaros, pCMX-Ikaros/fl/fl) or pCAT-CMX control plasmid. Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were assayed using the Dual-luciferase reporter system (Promega) and measured on a FLUOstar Optima Luminometer (BMG). Firefly luciferase counts were normalised against Renilla luciferase counts to account for differences in transfection efficiency.

3. Results

3.1. Expanded populations of CD8+CD4low TCRGβhi thymocytes with LOH mutations

To identify the early steps leading to T-ALL, we systematically analysed the thymi of a large cohort of Ikf1fl/lox mice during the pre-leukemic phase between 4 and 12 weeks of age when >90% of animals remain disease free (Fig. 1A). Up to 6 weeks of age there was no difference in CD4 or CD8 differentiation in the thymus of wild type and Ikf1fl/lox mice (data not shown) but by 8–12 weeks of age most Ikf1fl/lox mice exhibited a larger shoulder of CD8+ CD4low cells below the normal CD thymocyte population despite normal or only slightly elevated numbers of thymocytes (Fig. 1B). These abnormal CD8+ CD4low cells in the thymus were typically

Fig. 1. Evolution of leukemia and pre-leukemic abnormalities within the thymus. (A) Percentage of healthy Iκzf1+/+ and Iκzf1Plstc/+ mice (n = 49) at the indicated ages. Animals were euthanased when they developed clinical symptoms of leukemia or thymic lymphoma including cachexia, malaise, and laboured breathing. (B) Flow cytometric staining for CD4 and CD8 on thymocytes and splenocytes from Iκzf1+/+ (far left panel in each row) or Iκzf1Plstc/+ mice analysed prior to clinical symptoms of leukemia at the indicated ages. Numbers above each plot show the mouse number, and the number of cells in the thymus (T) and spleen (S). Samples indicated in red correspond to those in Fig. 2B with <5%, 5–15%, or 15–25% wild-type Iκzf1 DNA in DP cells, respectively.

TCRβhi CD5hi, comparable to post-positive selection CD8 SP cells in normal thymus, except that they were CD69 negative whereas most normal CD8 SP cells are CD69+ (Supplemental Fig. 1). The DP population itself also exhibited increased expression of TCRβ, CD3 and CD5 in these individuals (Supplemental Fig. 1). Staining for specific VB regions showed monoclonal or oligoclonal expansion of TCR-VB cells (e.g. TCR-VB5hi or TCR-VB8.3hi cells) in the thymus of a subset of animals aged 10–12 weeks, but these expanded T cell clones were not detected in the peripheral lymphoid tissues at this age (Supplemental Fig. 2). In the majority of 8– to 12-week-old
mice with these early stage abnormalities, the number and phenotype of peripheral lymphocytes was normal, and hence these animals are referred to as "pre-leukemic". However a minority of mice at 12 weeks of age had low frequencies of abnormal DP cells in the thymus and spleen (e.g. mouse G17.2 in Fig. 1B). Flow cytometric analysis of the peripheral DP cells in the spleen revealed that they shared an identical cellular phenotype that were typically TCRßâ––CD5b. The similar phenotype between thymocytes and splenocytes suggests that the peripheral DP phenotype cells were thymic derived and did not arise spontaneously in the periphery (Supplemental Figs. 3 and 4).

The indication above that abnormal leukemic clones evolve initially within the thymus was investigated further by testing thymocytes for somatic Ikaros loss of heterozygosity (LOH). DP thymocytes were sorted from individual mice in a 6- to 12-week-old cohort, genomic DNA prepared, and a quantitative fluorescent SNP assay used to measure the amount of Ikzf1+/+ and Ikzf1Plstc/+ DNA in each sample (Fig. 2A). This revealed that DP thymocytes in the majority of the Ikzf1Plstc/+ mice retained the wild-type Ikzf1 allele in heterozygous state up to 6 weeks of age but this allele accounted for less than 25% of Ikzf1 DNA or became undetectable in a progressively increasing proportion of 8–12-week-old mice (Fig. 2B). The extent of Ikzf1 LOH in DP cells of individual mice correlated with the extent of flow cytometric abnormalities (Fig. 2C). Somatic loss of wild-type Ikaros in DP thymocytes occurred in animals that did not have thymic lymphoma nor peripheral leukemia. For example, the wild type Ikaros allele was less than 10% or undetectable in DP cells from G17.23 and G16.62, respectively (Fig. 2B), yet total thymocyte numbers in these individuals were only twice normal (Fig. 1B) and the expanded thymic clone of TCR-Vß8.3+ or TCR-Vß5+ T cells was undetectable in peripheral tissues (Supplemental Fig. 2).

3.2. Somatic loss of LOH correlates with a large increase in Notch target gene expression in DP thymocytes

In parallel with the flow cytometric and LOH analyses of the cohort of Ikzf1Plstc/+ mice above, mRNA was prepared from the same samples of sorted DP cells and used to measure expression of Notch target genes and other developmentally genes by real-time PCR. We focussed first on Hes1 which was of particular functional relevance because its product is known to suppress CD4 expression [35] and hence might explain the CD8â––CD4low phenotype of the earliest detectable abnormal thymocytes. Compared to control DP cells sorted in parallel from wild-type mice, Hes1 mRNA was modestly elevated in 6- to 8-week-old mice with no evidence of an abnormal CD4–CD8 profile, but became dramatically increased to 100–1000 times the normal DP cell levels in DP cells from 10- to 12-week-old Ikzf1Plstc/+ mice (Fig. 3A and Supplemental Fig. 5). Increased Hes1 mRNA was inversely correlated with loss of wild-type Ikzf1 in DP thymocytes (Fig. 3A, r = −0.77), and with increased percentage of CD8â––CD4low thymocytes measured flow cytometrically (Fig. 3B, r = 0.82). Thus, while the heterogeneous Ikaros point mutation did not result in any appreciable increase in expression of the Notch1 target gene Hes1 in DP thymocytes that retained 50% wild-type Ikzf1 DNA, the proportion of thymocytes that had lost the wild-type allele was closely correlated with dramatically exaggerated Hes1 expression.

Ikaros LOH in DP thymocytes was closely correlated (r = −0.79) with a 10–100 fold increase in Dtx1 expression (Fig. 3C). Expression of pre-Ta, which is both developmentally regulated and Notch1 regulated [36], was increased in most samples with LOH but less well correlated (Fig. 3C, r = −0.58). There was little correlation between Ikzf1 LOH and mRNA expression of Rag1 gene, which is not known to be a direct Notch1 target (Fig. 3C, r = 0.24).

The evidence above that the remaining wild type Ikaros allele in Ikzf1Plstc/+ DP cells served as a critical negative regulator for Notch1-induced gene expression was corroborated in cell lines bearing luciferase reporters controlled by promoter elements from two different Notch target genes, Hes1 and Hey1. Co-transfection of DNA encoding the wild-type Ikaros full-length isoform (Ik1) inhibited transcriptional induction by DNA encoding the intracellular domain of Notch1 (ICN). By contrast, co-transfection of DNA encoding Ik1 bearing the H191R mutation was unable to repress activation of the Hes1 or Hey1 reporter constructs (Fig. 4). These results are consistent with other evidence that Ikaros negatively regulates Notch1 target gene expression [22,23,35,37–39]. Since the H191R point mutation disrupts DNA binding but leaves expression of otherwise normal full-length Ikaros isoforms in DP cells, these results collectively establish that the inhibitory effect of Ikaros on transcription of Notch1 targets in DP cells requires the DNA recognition domain and cannot be supplied through other parts of the full-length Ikaros protein.
3.3. Notch1 missense and PEST truncation mutations accompany Ikaros LOH at the earliest detectable stages of abnormal thymopoiesis

In parallel with analysing Ikaros LOH and Notch1-target gene expression in the cohort of pre-leukemic IkarosH191R mice, we PCR amplified, cloned and sequenced Notch1 exons 26 and 27 encoding the HD domain and exon 34 encoding the C-terminal PEST domain from genomic DNA of the sorted DP cells (Table 1 and Supplementary Figs. 6 and 7). In DP cells from 12 IkarosH191R mice aged between 4 and 8 weeks of age with no thymic flow cytometric abnormalities, 3 independent insertion/deletions were detected in exon 34 that truncate ICN (2 in 4-week-old mouse G17.59; 1 in 8-week-old G17.1). These two animals also had little or no increase in Hes1 mRNA in DP cells and retained a wild-type Ikzf1 allele in the majority of cells (Fig. 3A). ICN truncating mutations were found at a higher rate (9 mutations in 7 of 11 mice tested) in DP cells from mice with flow cytometrically abnormal thymocytes, despite many lacking detectable leukaemia (e.g. G17.23). Of the flow cytometrically abnormal samples with ICN-truncating mutations, all that were tested also had complete or near-complete Ikzf1 LOH and 50–1000 fold increased Hes1 mRNA (Fig. 3A, samples G17.2, G17.23, G17.27, G16.64, G15.32). No insertion/deletion truncating mutations were found in exons 26 and 27. Missense mutations in Notch1 exons 26, 27 and 34 were detected in DP cells from thymi with normal or abnormal flow cytometry (Table 1, Supplementary Figs. 6 and 7), including several such as L1668P (L1679P in human NOTCH1) that are repeatedly found in human T-ALL and are well established to destabilize the HD domain negative regulatory region [10]. While a comparable rate of nucleotide substitutions was found in thymic samples with normal or abnormal flow cytometry, the replacement-to-silent ratio was consistent with selection for replacements in DP cells from thymi with abnormal flow cytometric profiles (R/S = 6) whereas there was no evidence for selection in samples without flow cytometric abnormalities (R/S = 1.4). Because the different exons were amplified separately, we cannot determine if the HD missense mutations were combined in cis with ICN truncating mutations as has been observed in human T-ALL. Thus, while potentially activating Notch1 mutations precede the first flow cytometrically detectable thymocyte abnormalities in preleukemic animals, the transition to detectably abnormal thymopoiesis is accompanied by strong selection for activating Notch1.
Table 1
Number of mutation exons 26, 27 and 34 of the Notch1 gene observed in individual clones from B6ft^Plstc mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DP sample</th>
<th>Thymus flow cytometry</th>
<th>Exon 34 #clones seq’d</th>
<th>Exon 34 truncating mutations</th>
<th>Exon 34 mutations</th>
<th>Exon 26 #clones seq’d</th>
<th>Exon 26 mutations</th>
<th>Exon 27 #clones seq’d</th>
<th>Exon 27 mutations</th>
<th>Replacement mutations</th>
<th>Silent mutations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G17.55</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.57</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.59</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G18.13</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G18.14</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G18.15</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G18.31</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G18.33</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.19</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.25</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequenced nt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutations per 100,000 nt sequenced</td>
<td>148,320</td>
<td>23,541</td>
<td>16,362</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement/silent ratio</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.2</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.23</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.24</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G17.27</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G16.62</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G16.65</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20.109</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20.91</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20.92</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20.47</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20.58</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20.29</td>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequenced nt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutations per 100,000 nt sequenced</td>
<td>103,680</td>
<td>31,521</td>
<td>12,636</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement/silent ratio</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
somatic mutations in addition to somatic mutations that eliminate the remaining wild-type Ikaros allele.

4. Discussion

In this study we sought to understand the relationship between Ikaros and Notch1 signalling for normal T cell differentiation and the initial stages of neoplastic growth. We confirm and extend earlier evidence [22,23] that Ikaros acts as a critical inhibitor of Notch1-induced gene expression at the DP thymocyte differentiation stage and we show that somatic Ikaros LOH mutations in thymocytes are accompanied by a dramatic escalation in expression of Notch1 target genes. Potentially activating mutations in Notch1 itself can be detected even earlier, and these are strongly enriched concurrent with Ikaros LOH and flow cytometric abnormalities in thymocyte growth or differentiation. These results extend the view emerging from human T-ALL and associated experimental studies [10,11] that multiple mutations acting in concert upon the Notch pathway are needed to exaggerate Notch1 transcriptional activity above a threshold that can promote neoplastic T cell growth in vivo.

The overriding view of Ikaros function in T cell development is that it functions to repress Notch signalling in DP cells due to Ikaros’ ability to bind to 5’ regulatory elements within the Hes1 and Dtx1 promoters [23,24,35]. Somatic mutations in the HD domain of Notch 1 occur in ~40–50% of T-ALLs and Notch receptors that bear HD mutations can be expressed at the cell surface and are still responsive to ligands, but they can also signal in a ligand independent manner [10,40]. The cell autonomous activation of Notch signals could contribute to the induction or the progression of T-ALL in several ways. We observed HD mutations in IkarosPlstc+/ mice as young as 4 weeks of age when T cell development was normal, indicating that individual somatically acquired Notch 1 mutations are not sufficient to drive T cell leukemia or disrupt thymopoiesis. Similar HD mutations and mutations that lead to truncation of the Notch1 intracellular domain have been identified in other animal models bearing Ikaros mutations suggesting that Notch1 is a frequent target of mutations in these T cell derived tumors [23,24]. This is consistent with the conclusions from retroviral introduction of Notch1 HD or truncating mutations into bone marrow reconstituted mice [11].

The systematic analysis here of young animals that had few or no abnormal T cells circulating in their spleen, lymph nodes or bone marrow provides a view of the early evolution of T-ALL. Previous studies of other Ikaros mutant animals have focussed on the malignant phase when overt leukemia and lymphadenopathy has ensued. LOH of the remaining wild type Ikaros allele was observed and was suggested to be a late stage event in progression to T cell leukemia when large numbers of abnormal T cells accumulated extrathymically in peripheral tissues [23–25]. However, in the current study Ikaros LOH was detected in IkarosPlstc+/ mice between 6 and 8 weeks of age and this was strongly correlated with a 100–1000 fold increase in Notch target gene expression and the first detectably flow cytometric changes in thymocyte development. At this stage, abnormal T cells were only detectable within the thymus and not in the peripheral tissues. The most significant changes occurred in Hes1 and Dtx1 expression and these two target genes were also observed to be increased in studies of tumors derived from IkarosPlstc+/ and Ikaros+/ mice [23,24]. This contrasts to the report by Mantha et al. who did not detect LOH of the wild type allele of Ikaros in IkarosPlstc+/ mice before 12 weeks of age. Dramatically exaggerated Notch signalling in DP cells can arrest their development [41] and may explain why leukemias in IkarosPlstc+/ mice are generally of a DP or CD8+CD4int cell immature T cell phenotype.

A cooperative role between Ikaros and Notch mutations and pre-TCR signalling in T cell transformation and leukemia has been highlighted [6,8,10]. Consistent with these results T-ALL is greatly suppressed in Rag1-deficient IkarosPlstc+/ mice where the TCR-β chain cannot be rearranged to assemble pre-TCR (unpublished data). Notch1 and Notch3 activate p70 gene expression cells in a way that is repressed by DNA-binding Ikaros isoforms [23,42]. Indeed, Ikaros LOH was correlated with a 2–8 fold increase in p70 mRNA in DP cells from IkarosPlstc+/ mice similar to that reported by Dumortier et al. in tumors derived from Ikaros+/ mice [23]. Notch1 induces Notch3, and constitutively active Notch3 signalling alters Ikaros mRNA splicing to yield short non-DNA binding isoforms that relieve Ikaros repression of Notch-induced p70 [42]. Thus there appear to be two alternative pathways for somatically inactivating Ikaros’ repressive function in the evolution of T-ALL, either by somatic loss of the wild-type Ikaros as occurs here or by accumulation of other mutations in NOTCH1, FBXW7, etc. that exaggerate NOTCH signalling sufficiently to suppress full-length Ikaros mRNA and protein.

The data presented here emphasize the need for multiple mutations dysregulating Notch-signalling during the inception of T cell leukemia in vivo. The earliest stages of abnormal thymic differentiation were accompanied by somatic mutations in the HD and PEST domains of the Notch1 gene that are known to exaggerate ICN production, induce expression of Myc [11] and Cyclin-D1 (26) and inhibit the expression of tumour suppressor genes (e.g. p19ARF – p53) or growth regulators such as p27kip1 and Nur77 [43]. Loss of DNA-binding Ikaros appears to be a critical collaborator in this inception phase, relieving the ICN-RBPjk-MAML transcriptional complex from repressive effects of Ikaros [23,42] and correlating closely with a dramatic increase in Notch target gene expression in pre-leukemic DP cells. This multi-step increase in Notch signalling may be required to achieve a threshold for inducing Myc, repressing p19Arf, and initiating neoplastic DP cell growth in vivo, as suggested by Chiang et al. [11]. Mutant Notch1 receptors can still bind and respond to Notch ligands and this may be important in the engrafment of the mutant cells into the bone marrow and secondary lymphoid tissues [44]. Given that multiple steps appear necessary for the initiation of leukemic growth, T-ALL cells may be particularly sensitive to agents that target even one of these steps to lower Notch signalling by intermediate amounts.
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