
Theses

2018

The design and development of E-textbooks to support problem-based learning in secondary school science classrooms

Nigel Stewart

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/theses>



COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA
Copyright Regulations 1969

WARNING

The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act. Any further copying or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act.

Do not remove this notice.

REFERENCES

- ACARA. (2012). My school. Retrieved from <http://www.myschool.edu.au/SchoolProfile/Index/66142/FrederickIrwinAnglicanSchool/49094/2012>
- ACARA. (2015a). Critical and creative thinking. Retrieved from <https://www.australiancurriculum.edu.au/f-10-curriculum/general-capabilities/critical-and-creative-thinking/>
- ACARA. (2015b). What does the ICESA value mean? Retrieved from http://docs.acara.edu.au/resources/About_icsea_2014.pdf
- ACARA. (2016). Year 10 curriculum: Science. Retrieved from <http://www.australiancurriculum.edu.au/science/curriculum/f-10?y=10&s=SU&s=HE&s=IS&layout=1>
- Aidoo, B., Boateng, S. K., Kissi, P. S., & Ofori, I. (2016). Effect of problem-based learning on students' achievement in chemistry. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(33), 103–108.
- Ainley, M., & Ainley, J. (2011). Student engagement with science in early adolescence: The contribution of enjoyment to students' continuing interest in learning about science. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 36(1), 4–12. doi: 10.1016/j.cedpsych.2010.08.001
- Albanese, M. A., & Mitchell, S. (1993). Problem-based learning: A review of literature on its outcomes and implementation issues. *Academic Medicine*, 68(1), 52–81.
- Alessio, H. (2004). Student perceptions about and performance in problem-based learning. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 4(1), 23–34.
- Allchin, D. (2013). Problem-and case-based learning in science: An introduction to distinctions, values, and outcomes. *CBE-Life Sciences Education*, 12(3), 364–372. doi: 10.1187/cbe.12-11-0190
- Amineh, R. J., & Asl, H. D. (2015). Review of constructivism and social constructivism. *Journal of Social Sciences, Literature and Languages*, 1(1), 9–16.
- Anderman, E. M. (2007). The effects of personal, classroom, and school, goal structures on academic cheating. In E. M. Anderman & T. B. Murdock (Eds.), *Psychology of academic cheating* (pp. 87–106). Burlington, MA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Anderman, E. M., & Midgley, C. (2004). Changes in self-reported academic cheating across the transition from middle school to high school. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 29(4), 499–517. doi: 10.1016/j.cedpsych.2004.02.002
- Anderson, P. H., & Lawton, L. (2014). Simulation performance and its effectiveness as a PBL problem: A follow-up study. *Developments in Business Simulation and Experiential Learning*, 34, 43–50.
- Anderson, T., & Shattuck, J. (2012). Design-based research: A decade of progress in education research? *Educational Researcher*, 41(1), 16–25. doi: 10.3102/0013189X11428813

- Argaw, A. S., Haile, B. B., Ayalew, B. T., & Kuma, S. G. (2017). The effect of problem based learning (PBL) instruction on students' motivation and problem solving skills of physics. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education*, 13(3), 857-871. doi: 10.12973/eurasia.2017.00647a
- Armstrong, C. (2008). Books in a virtual world: The evolution of the e-book and its lexicon. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 40(3), 193–206. doi: 10.1177/0961000608092554
- Armstrong, C., Edwards, L., & Lonsdale, R. (2002). Virtually there? E-books in UK academic libraries. *Program: Electronic Library and Information Systems*, 36(4), 216–227. doi: 10.1108/00330330210447181
- Asghar, A., Ellington, R., Rice, E., Johnson, F., & Prime, G. M. (2012). Supporting STEM education in secondary science contexts. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 6(2), 85–125. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1349
- Ashworth, P., Bannister, P., & Thorne, P. (1997). Guilty in whose eyes? University students' perceptions of cheating and plagiarism in academic work and assessment. *Studies in Higher Education*, 22(2), 187–203. doi: 10.1080/03075079712331381034
- Ates, O., & Eryilmaz, A. (2015). Analysis of the problem-based learning implementations: Students and tutors perceptions and actions. *Journal of Theory and Practice in Education*, 11(3), 816–833.
- Aziz, Z., & Hossain, M. A. (2010). A comparison of cooperative learning and conventional teaching on students' achievement in secondary mathematics. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 9, 53–62. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.115
- Bailey, K. M. (2001). Observation. In D. Nunan & R. Carter (Eds.), *The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages* (pp. 114–119). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Bakker, A. (2004). Design research in statistics education: On symbolizing and computer tools (Doctoral dissertation). Utrecht University, the Netherlands. Retrieved from <http://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/893>
- Balim, A. G., Inel-Ekici, D., & Özcan, E. (2016). Concept cartoons supported problem based learning method in middle school science classrooms. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 5(2), 272-284. doi: 10.5539/jel.v5n2p272
- Barab, S. (2006). Design-based research: A methodological toolkit for the learning scientist. *Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (pp. 153–178). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Barab, S., & Squire, K. (2004). Design-based research: Putting a stake in the ground. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13(1), 1–14. doi: 10.1207/s15327809jls1301_1
- Barrows, H. (2003). Response to “the problem with problem-based medical education: Promises not kept” by R. H. Glew. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 31(4), 255–256. doi: 10.1002/bmb.2003.494031040269
- Barrows, H. S. (1986). A taxonomy of problem-based learning methods. *Medical Education*, 20(6), 481–486. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2923.1986.tb01386.x
- Barrows, H. S. (1996). Problem-based learning in medicine and beyond: A brief overview. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 68, 3–12. doi: 10.1002/tl.37219966804

- Barrows, H. S., & Kelson, A. M. (1993). Problem-based learning: A total approach to education [Monograph]. *Southern Illinois University School of Medicine, Springfield, Illinois.*
- Barrows, H. S., & Tamblyn, R. M. (1980). *Problem-based learning: An approach to medical education* (Vol. 1). New York, NY: Springer.
- Baseya, J., & Francis, C. (2011). Design of inquiry-oriented science labs: Impacts on students' attitudes. *Research in Science & Technological Education, 29*(3), 241–255. doi: 10.1080/02635143.2011.589379
- Bate, F., Macnish, J., & Males, S. (2014). The politics of gaming in schools: A sociocultural perspective from Western Australia. *Learning, Media and Technology, 39*(3), 306–327. doi: 10.1080/17439884.2013.872655
- Bautista, R. G. (2015). Optimizing classroom instruction through self-paced learning prototype. *Journal of Technology and Science Education, 5*(3), 184–193. doi: 10.3926/jotse.162
- Beaumont, C., Norton, L., & Tawfik, H. (2011). Intelligent tutoring systems: How well can they guide students in problem-based learning scenarios? In J. Davies, E. De Graaff & A. Kolmos (Eds.), *PBL across the disciplines* (pp. 378–390). Aalborg, Denmark: Aalborg University.
- Belland, B. R. (2010). Portraits of middle school students constructing evidence-based arguments during problem-based learning: The impact of computer-based scaffolds. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 58*(3), 285–309. doi: 10.1007/sl 1423-009-9139-4
- Belland, B. R., French, B. F., & Ertmer, P. A. (2009). Validity and problem-based learning research: A review of instruments used to assess intended learning outcomes. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning, 3*(1), 59–89. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1059
- Belland, B. R., Glazewski, K. D., & Richardson, J. C. (2008). A scaffolding framework to support the construction of evidence-based arguments among middle school students. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 56*(4), 401–422. doi: 10.1007/sl 1423-007-9074-1
- Belland, B. R., Glazewski, K. D., & Richardson, J. C. (2011). Problem-based learning and argumentation: Testing a scaffolding framework to support middle school students' creation of evidence-based arguments. *Instructional Science, 39*(5), 667–694. doi: 10.1007/s11251-010-9148-z
- Belland, B. R., Kim, C., & Hannafin, M. J. (2013). A framework for designing scaffolds that improve motivation and cognition. *Educational Psychologist, 48*(4), 243–270. doi: 10.1080/00461520.2013.838920
- Bennett, J., & Hogarth, S. (2009). Would you want to talk to a scientist at a party? High school students' attitudes to school science and to science. *International Journal of Science Education, 31*(14), 1975–1998. doi: 10.1080/09500690802425581
- Bennett, S., Maton, K., & Kervin, L. (2008). The 'digital natives' debate: A critical review of the evidence. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 39*(5), 775–786. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8535.2007.00793.x
- Bennett, S., & Oliver, M. (2011). Talking back to theory: The missed opportunities in learning technology research. *Research in Learning Technology, 19*(3), 179–189.
- Biley, F. (1999). Creating tension: Undergraduate student nurses' responses to a problem-based learning curriculum. *Nurse Education Today, 19*(7), 586–591. doi: 10.1054/nedt.1999.0371

- Blankenburg, J. S., Höffler, T. N., & Parchmann, I. (2015). Fostering today what is needed tomorrow: Investigating students' interest in science. *Science Education, 100*(2), 364–391. doi: 10.1002/sce.21204
- Blurton, C. (1999). New directions in education. In M. Tawfik (Ed.), *World communication and information report 1999–2000* (pp. 46–61). Paris, France: UNESCO.
- Bogard, T., Liu, M., & Chiang, Y.-h. V. (2013). Thresholds of knowledge development in complex problem solving: A multiple-case study of advanced learners' cognitive processes. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 61*(3), 465–503. doi: 10.1007/s11423-013-9295-4
- Bolliger, D. U., & Supanakorn, S. (2011). Learning styles and student perceptions of the use of interactive online tutorials. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 42*(3), 470–481. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.01037.x
- Boone, C. (2013). Problem-based learning and student attitudes in mathematics. In L. P. McCoy (Ed.), *Studies in teaching 2013 research digest* (pp. 7–12). Winston-Salem, NC: Wake Forest University.
- Borchers, J. O. (1999). Electronic books: Definition, genres, interaction design patterns. *Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI99 Workshop: Designing Electronic Books*. Retrieved from <https://fileserv.tu.informatik.tu-darmstadt.de/Publications/1999/electronic.pdf>.
- Bower, M. (2008). Designing for interactive and collaborative learning in a web-conferencing environment (Doctoral dissertation). Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/1959.14/26888>
- Bricker, L. A., & Bell, P. (2008). Conceptualizations of argumentation from science studies and the learning sciences and their implications for the practices of science education. *Science Education, 92*(3), 473–498. doi: 10.1002/sce.20278
- Briddon, J., Chelin, J. A., Ince, G., Redman, J., Sleat, A., & Williams, E. (2009). “E-books are good if there are no copies left”: A survey of e-book usage at UWE library services. *Library and Information Research, 33*(104), 45–65.
- Brown, A. L. (1992). Design experiments: Theoretical and methodological challenges in creating complex interventions in classroom settings. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 2*(2), 141–178. doi: 10.1207/s15327809jls0202_2
- Brush, T., & Saye, J. W. (2017). *Successfully implementing problem-based learning in classrooms: Research in K–12 and teacher education*: Purdue University Press.
- Buckle, H. T. (1861). *History of civilization in England* (Vol. 2). London, UK: Parker, Son and Bourn.
- Bulu, S. T., & Pedersen, S. (2010). Scaffolding middle school students' content knowledge and ill-structured problem solving in a problem-based hypermedia learning environment. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 58*(5), 507–529. doi: 10.1007/s11423-010-9150-9
- Carroll, L. (1865). *Alice's adventures in wonderland*. London, UK: Macmillan.
- Case, J. M., & Light, G. (2011). Emerging research methodologies in engineering education research. *Journal of Engineering Education, 100*(1), 186–210. doi: 10.1002/j.2168-9830.2011.tb00008.x

- Cerezo, N. (2004). Problem-based learning in the middle school: A research case study of the perceptions of at-risk females. *Research in Middle Level Education Online*, 27(1), 1–13. doi: 10.1080/19404476.2004.11658164
- Chapman, K. J., Meuter, M. L., Toy, D., & Wright, L. K. (2010). Are student groups dysfunctional? Perspectives from both sides of the classroom. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 32(1), 39–49. doi: 10.1177/0273475309335575
- Chau, M. (2008). The effects of electronic books designed for children in education. *Scroll*, 1(1), 1–4.
- Chen, C. H. (2008). Why do teachers not practice what they believe regarding technology integration? *Journal of Educational Research*, 102(1), 65–75. doi: 10.3200/JOER.102.1.65-75
- Chen, G., Gong, C., & Huang, R. (2012). E-textbook: Definition, functions and key technical issues. *Open Education Research*, 2(3), 28–32.
- Chen, G., Gong, C., Yang, J., Yang, X., & Huang, R. (2013). The concept of etextbooks in K–12 classes from the perspective of its stakeholders. In A. Holzinger & G. Pasi (Eds.), *Human-computer interaction and knowledge discovery in complex, unstructured, big data* (pp. 319–325). Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.
- Chen, J. A., & Usher, E. L. (2013). Profiles of the sources of science self-efficacy. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 24, 11–21. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2012.11.002
- Cheung, H. Y., Wu, J., & Huang, Y. (2016). Why do Chinese students cheat? Initial findings based on the self-reports of high school students in China. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 43(2), 245–271. doi: 10.1007/s13384-016-0201-z
- Chin, C., & Chia, L. G. (2004). Implementing project work in biology through problem-based learning. *Journal of Biological Education*, 38(2), 69–75. doi: 10.1080/00219266.2004.9655904
- Chin, C., & Chia, L. G. (2008). Problem-based learning tools: Problem-based learning pedagogy and strategies are used to implement project-based science. *The Science Teacher*, 75(8), 44–49.
- Cho, K. L., & Jonassen, D. H. (2002). The effects of argumentation scaffolds on argumentation and problem solving. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 50(3), 5. doi: 10.1007/BF02505022
- Cobb, P., Confrey, J., Lehrer, R., & Schauble, L. (2003). Design experiments in educational research. *Educational Researcher*, 32(1), 9–13. doi: 10.3102/0013189X032001009
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2011). *Research methods in education* (7th ed.). Oxford, UK: Routledge.
- Committee on Undergraduate Science Education. (1997). *Science teaching reconsidered: A handbook*. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press.
- Creswell, J. W. (2003). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J. W., & Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into practice*, 39(3), 124–130. doi: 10.1207/s15430421tip3903_2
- Crippen, K. J., & Archambault, L. (2012). Scaffolded inquiry-based instruction with technology: A signature pedagogy for STEM education. *Computers in the Schools*, 29(1–2), 157–173. doi: 10.1080/07380569.2012.658733

- Curry, L. A., Nembhard, I. M., & Bradley, E. H. (2009). Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to outcomes research. *Circulation*, *119*(10), 1442–1452. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.742775
- D'Souza, M. S., Isac, C., Venkatesaperumal, R., Nairy, K. S., & Amirtharaj, A. (2013). Exploring nursing student engagement in the learning environment for improved learning outcomes. *Clinical Nursing Studies*, *2*(1), 1–16. doi: 10.5430/cns.v2n1p1
- Dahlgren, M. A., Castensson, R., & Dahlgren, L. O. (1998). PBL from the teachers' perspective. *Higher Education*, *36*(4), 437–447. doi: 10.1023/A:1003467910288
- Davis, M., & Harden, R. (1999). AMEE medical education guide No. 15: Problem-based learning: A practical guide. *Medical Teacher*, *21*(2), 130–140. doi: 10.1080/01421599979743
- De Graaf, E., & Kolmos, A. (2003). Characteristics of problem-based learning. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, *19*(5), 657–662.
- De Jong, T., Linn, M. C., & Zacharia, Z. C. (2013). Physical and virtual laboratories in science and engineering education. *Science*, *340*(6130), 305–308. doi: 10.1126/science.1230579
- Dede, C. (2004). If design-based research is the answer, what is the question? A commentary on Collins, Joseph, and Bielaczyc; diSessa and Cobb; and Fishman, Marx, Blumenthal, Krajcik, and Soloway in the JLS special issue on design-based research. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, *13*(1), 105–114. doi: 10.1207/s15327809jls1301_5
- Delgado, A. J., Wardlow, L., McKnight, K., & O'Malley, K. (2015). Educational technology: A review of the integration, resources, and effectiveness of technology in K–12 classrooms. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, *14*, 397–416. doi: 10.28945/2298
- Dennis, A. R., McNamara, K. O., Morrone, S., & Plaskoff, J. (2015). Improving learning with etextbooks. In T. X. Bui & R. H. Sprague Jr. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 48th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. (pp. 5253–5259). doi: 10.1109/HICSS.2015.620
- DeWaters, J. E., & Powers, S. E. (2011). Improving energy literacy among middle school youth with project-based learning pedagogies. *Proceedings of the Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*. (pp. T1D–1–T1D–7). doi: 10.1109/FIE.2011.6142961
- DeZure, D., Kaplan, M., & Deerman, M. A. (2001). Research on student notetaking: Implications for faculty and graduate student instructors. *CRLT Occasional Papers*. 16. Retrieved from http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~krasny/math156_crlt.pdf
- Dischino, M., DeLaura, J. A., Donnelly, J., Massa, N. M., & Hanes, F. (2011). Increasing the STEM pipeline through problem-based learning. *Technology Interface International Journal*, *12*(1), 21–29.
- Ditzler, C., Hong, E., & Strudler, N. (2016). How tablets are utilized in the classroom. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *48*(3), 1–13. doi: 10.1080/15391523.2016.1172444
- Dolmans, D. H., De Grave, W., Wolfhagen, I. H., & Van Der Vleuten, C. P. (2005). Problem-based learning: Future challenges for educational practice and research. *Medical Education*, *39*(7), 732–741. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2929.2005.02205.x

- Du, X. (2011). *Gender and diversity in a problem and project based learning environment*. Aalborg, Denmark: River.
- Dunleavy, M., Dede, C., & Mitchell, R. (2009). Affordances and limitations of immersive participatory augmented reality simulations for teaching and learning. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 18(1), 7–22. doi: 10.1007/s10956-008-9119-1
- Embong, A. M., Noor, A. M., Hashim, H. M., Ali, R. M., & Shaari, Z. H. (2012). E-books as textbooks in the classroom. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 47, 1802–1809. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.903
- English, M. C., & Kitsantas, A. (2013). Supporting student self-regulated learning in problem-and project-based learning. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 7(2), 128–150. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1339
- Ericsson, A. (2003). The acquisition of expert performance as problem solving. In J. Davidson & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *The psychology of problem solving*. (pp. 31–83). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Ertmer, P. A. (2010). Introduction to volume 4, issue 1. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 4(1), 4–5. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1158
- Ertmer, P. A., & Glazewski, K. D. (2015). Essentials for PBL implementation: Fostering collaboration, transforming roles, and scaffolding learning. In A. Walker, H. Leary, C. E. Hmelo-Silver & P. A. Ertmer (Eds.), *Essential readings in problem-based learning* (pp. 89–106). West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. (2013). Removing obstacles to the pedagogical changes required by Jonassen’s vision of authentic technology-enabled learning. *Computers & Education*, 64, 175–182. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.008
- Ertmer, P. A., & Simons, K. D. (2006). Jumping the PBL implementation hurdle: Supporting the efforts of K–12 teachers. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 1(1), 40–54. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1005
- Fermilab. (2013). Classroom observation protocol. *Resources for program development*. Retrieved from http://ed.fnal.gov/trc_new/program_docs/instru/classroom_obs.pdf
- Ferreira, M. M., & Trudel, A. R. (2012). The impact of problem-based learning (PBL) on student attitudes toward science, problem-solving skills, and sense of community in the classroom. *Journal of Classroom Interaction*, 47(1), 23–30.
- Finn, K. V., & Frone, M. R. (2004). Academic performance and cheating: Moderating role of school identification and self-efficacy. *Journal of Educational Research*, 97(3), 115–121. doi: 10.3200/JOER.97.3.115-121
- Fleming, N. D. (1995). I’m different; not dumb. Modes of presentation (VARK) in the tertiary classroom. *Research and Development in Higher Education, Proceedings of the 1995 Annual Conference of the Higher Education and Research Development Society of Australasia (HERDSA), HERDSA*, 18, 308–313.
- Fleming, N. D., & Mills, C. (1992). Not another inventory, rather a catalyst for reflection. *To Improve the Academy*, 11(1), 137–155. doi: 10.1002/j.2334-4822.1992.tb00213.x

- Frey, N., Fisher, D., & Allen, A. (2009). Productive group work in middle and high school classrooms. In S. Parris, D. Fisher & K. Headley (Eds.), *Adolescent literacy, field tested: Effective solutions for every classroom* (pp. 70–81). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Fried, C. B. (2008). In-class laptop use and its effects on student learning. *Computers & Education*, 50(3), 906–914. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2006.09.006
- Gallagher, S. A. (1997). Problem-based learning: Where did it come from, what does it do, and where is it going? *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 20(4), 332–362. doi: 10.1177/016235329702000402
- Gallagher, S. A., Sher, B. T., Stepien, W. J., & Workman, D. (1995). Implementing problem-based learning in science classrooms. *School Science and Mathematics*, 95(3), 136–146. doi: 10.1111/j.1949-8594.1995.tb15748.x
- Ganesh, A., & Ratnakar, U. (2014). Learning preferences of PG and UG students: Application of VARK. *SCMS Journal of Indian Management*, 11(3), 26–36.
- Ge, X., Planas, L., G, & Er, N. (2010). A cognitive support system to scaffold students' problem-based learning in a web-based learning environment. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 4(1), 30–56. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1093
- Gearing, R. E. (2004). Bracketing in research: A typology. *Qualitative Health Research*, 14(10), 1429–1452. doi: 10.1177/1049732304270394
- Gijbels, D., Dochy, F., Van den Bossche, P., & Segers, M. (2005). Effects of problem-based learning: A meta-analysis from the angle of assessment. *Review of Educational Research*, 75(1), 27–61. doi: 10.3102/00346543075001027
- Gijbels, D., Van de Watering, G., Dochy, F., & Van den Bossche, P. (2005). The relationship between students' approaches to learning and the assessment of learning outcomes. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 20(4), 327–341. doi: 10.1007/BF03173560
- Gillies, R. M. (2004). The effects of cooperative learning on junior high school students during small group learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 14(2), 197–213. doi: 10.1177/0143034308093673
- Gillies, R. M. (2008). The effects of cooperative learning on junior high school students' behaviours, discourse and learning during a science-based learning activity. *School Psychology International*, 29(3), 328–347. doi: 10.1177/0143034308093673
- Gillies, R. M., & Haynes, M. (2011). Increasing explanatory behaviour, problem-solving, and reasoning within classes using cooperative group work. *Instructional Science*, 39(3), 349–366. doi: 10.1007/s11251-010-9130-9
- Glaser, B. G. (1965). The constant comparative method of qualitative analysis. *Social Problems*, 12(4), 436–445. doi: 10.2307/798843
- Glew, R. H. (2003). The problem with problem-based medical education: Promises not kept. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 31(1), 52–56. doi: 10.1002/bmb.2003.494031010158
- Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding reliability and validity in qualitative research. *The Qualitative Report*, 8(4), 597–606.
- Gong, C., Chen, G., Cheng, W., Yang, X., & Huang, R. (2013). Potential issues on initiatives utilizing e-textbooks in K–12 classrooms. *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE 13th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT)*. (pp. 314–318). doi: 10.1109/ICALT.2013.98

- Goodnough, K. (2008). Examining the personal side of change within a collaborative inquiry group: Adopting problem-based learning in primary/elementary science education. *Journal of Applied Research on Learning*, 2(1), 1–23.
- Goodnough, K., & Cashion, M. (2006). Exploring problem-based learning in the context of high school science: Design and implementation issues. *School Science and Mathematics*, 106(7), 280–295. doi: 10.1111/j.1949-8594.2006.tb17919.x
- Grant, M. M., & Hill, J. R. (2006). Weighing the risks with the rewards: Implementing student-centered pedagogy within high-stakes testing. In R. Lambert & C. McCarthy (Eds.), *Understanding teacher stress in an age of accountability* (pp. 19–42). Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
- Green, J., Liem, G. A. D., Martin, A. J., Colmar, S., Marsh, H. W., & McInerney, D. (2012). Academic motivation, self-concept, engagement, and performance in high school: Key processes from a longitudinal perspective. *Journal of Adolescence*, 35(5), 1111–1122. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.02.016
- Greening, T. (1998). Scaffolding for success in problem-based learning. *Medical Education Online*, 3(1), 1–11. doi: 10.3402/meo.v3i.4297
- Greiff, S., Holt, D. V., & Funke, J. (2013). Perspectives on problem solving in educational assessment: Analytical, interactive, and collaborative problem solving. *Journal of Problem Solving*, 5(2), 71–91.
- Gu, X., Wu, B., & Xu, X. (2015). Design, development, and learning in e-textbooks: What we learned and where we are going. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 2(1), 25–41. doi: 10.1007/s40692-014-0023-9
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1982). Epistemological and methodological bases of naturalistic inquiry. *Educational Communication and Technology*, 30(4), 233–252. doi: 10.1007/BF02765185
- Haith-Cooper, M. (2000). Problem-based learning within health professional education. What is the role of the lecturer? A review of the literature. *Nurse Education Today*, 20(4), 267–272. doi: 10.1054/nedt.1999.0397
- Halford, G. S., Wilson, W. H., & Phillips, S. (1998). Processing capacity defined by relational complexity: Implications for comparative, developmental, and cognitive psychology. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 21(6), 803–831.
- Hamed, M., & Ezaleila, S. (2015). Digital textbook program in Malaysia: Lessons from South Korea. *Publishing Research Quarterly*, 31(4), 244–257. doi: 10.1007/s12109-015-9425-4
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112. doi: 10.3102/003465430298487
- Henry, H. R., Tawfik, A. A., Jonassen, D. H., Winholtz, R. A., & Khanna, S. (2012). “I know this is supposed to be more like the real world, but...”: Student perceptions of a PBL implementation in an undergraduate materials science course. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 6(1), 43–81. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1312
- Herrington, J., McKenney, S., Reeves, T., & Oliver, R. (2007). Design-based research and doctoral students: Guidelines for preparing a dissertation proposal. In C. Mongomerie & J. Seale (Eds.), *Proceedings of the World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications*. (pp. 4089–4097). Retrieved from <https://ris.utwente.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/6155349>.

- Herrington, J., & Reeves, T. C. (2011). Using design principles to improve pedagogical practice and promote student engagement. In G. Williams, P. Statham, N. Brown & B. Cleland (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ascilite 2011 Changing Demands, Changing Directions Conference*. (pp. 594–601). Retrieved from <http://www.ascilite.org/conferences/hobart11/downloads/papers/Herrington-full.pdf>.
- Hill, R. A. (2011). Mobile digital devices: Dipping your toes in technological waters. *Teacher Librarian*, 39(1), 22–26.
- Hirshfield, L., & Koretsky, M. D. (2017). Gender and participation in an engineering problem-based learning environment. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 12(1). doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1651
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review*, 16(3), 235–266. doi: 10.1023/B:EDPR.0000034022.16470.f3
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Clark, A. C. (2007). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). *Educational Psychologist*, 42(2), 99–107. doi: 10.1080/00461520701263368
- Hoffmann, B., & Ritchie, D. (1997). Using multimedia to overcome the problems with problem based learning. *Instructional Science*, 25(2), 97–115. doi: 10.1023/A:1002967414942
- Horak, A. K., & Galluzzo, G. R. (2017). Gifted middle school students' achievement and perceptions of science classroom quality during problem-based learning. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 28(1), 28–50. doi: 10.1177/1932202X16683424
- Hornby, G., & Witte, C. (2014). Ability grouping in New Zealand high schools: Are practices evidence-based? *Preventing School Failure: Alternative Education for Children and Youth*, 58(2), 90–95. doi: 10.1080/1045988X.2013.782531
- Howe, N., & Strauss, W. (2003). *Millennials go to college*. Great Falls, VA: American Association of Registrars and Admissions Officers and Life Course Associates.
- Hung, W. (2006). The 3C3R model: A conceptual framework for designing problems in PBL. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 1(1), 55–77. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1006
- Hung, W. (2011). Theory to reality: A few issues in implementing problem-based learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 59(4), 529–552. doi: 10.1007/s11423-011-9198-1
- Hung, W., Jonassen, D. H., & Liu, R. (2008). Problem-based learning. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. V. Merrienboer & M. P. Driscoll (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (3rd ed., pp. 485–506). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hurford, A., & Hamilton, E. (2008). Effects of tablet computers and collaborative classroom software on student engagement and learning. *Proceedings of the Frontiers in Education Conference, 2008. FIE 2008. 38th Annual*. (pp. S3J–15–S13J–20). doi: 10.1109/FIE.2008.4720652
- Javed, S. H. (2008). Online facilitated mathematics learning in vocational education: A design-based study (Doctoral dissertation). Victoria University, Footscray, Victoria. Retrieved from <http://vuir.vu.edu.au/id/eprint/15198>

- Johnson, R., & Johnson, D. (1982). What research says about student–student interaction in science classrooms. In M. Rowe (Ed.), *Education in the 80s: Science*. (pp. 25–37). Washington, DC: National Education Association.
- Jonassen, D. (2011). Supporting problem solving in PBL. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 5(2), 95–119. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1256
- Jonassen, D. H. (2000). Toward a design theory of problem solving. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 48(4), 63–85. doi: 10.1007/BF02300500
- Jonassen, D. H., & Hung, W. (2008). All problems are not equal: Implications for problem-based learning. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 2(2), 6–28. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1080
- Jones, L., & Somekh, B. (2005). Observation. In B. Somekh & C. Lewin (Eds.), *Research methods in the social sciences* (pp. 138–145). London, UK: Sage.
- Junco, R. (2012). In-class multitasking and academic performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(6), 2236–2243. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2012.06.031
- Juuti, K., & Lavonen, J. (2006). Design-based research in science education: One step towards methodology. *Nordic Studies in Science Education*, 4(6), 54–68. doi: 10.5617/nordina.424
- Kaouri, Z. (2017). Evaluating the use of ICT in education: Factors affecting teaching with technology. In L. Morris & C. Tsolakidis (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Information, Communication Technologies in Education*. (pp. 449–462). Retrieved from <http://www.icicte.org/ICICTE2017Proceedings.pdf>.
- Katz, I. R. (2007). Testing information literacy in digital environments: ETS’s iSkills assessment. *Information Technology and Libraries*, 26(3), 3–12. doi: 10.6017/ital.v26i3.3271
- Kay, R. H., & Lauricella, S. (2011). Unstructured vs. structured use of laptops in higher education. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 10(1), 33–42.
- Kazakoff, A. (2009). Using concept mapping to scaffold learning for students who experience learning difficulties in science classes (Doctoral dissertation). Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/31810/>
- Kelly, A. E. (2003). Research as design. *Educational Researcher*, 32(1), 3–4. doi: 10.3102/0013189X032001003
- Kelly, A. E. (2004). Design research in education: Yes, but is it methodological? *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13(1), 115–128. doi: 10.1207/s15327809jls1301_6
- Kelly, P. A., Haidet, P., Schneider, V., Searle, N., Seidel, C. L., & Richards, B. F. (2005). A comparison of in-class learner engagement across lecture, problem-based learning, and team learning using the strobe classroom observation tool. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 17(2), 112–118. doi: 10.1207/s15328015tlm1702_4
- Kennedy, T., & Odell, M. (2014). Engaging students in STEM education. *Science Education International*, 25(3), 246–258.
- Khanal, L., Shah, S., & Koirala, S. (2014). Exploration of preferred learning styles in medical education using VARK modal. *Russian Open Medical Journal*, 3(3), 1–8. doi: 10.15275/rusomj.2014.0305

- Kim, J. H. Y., & Jung, H. Y. (2010). South Korean digital textbook project. *Computers in the Schools*, 27(3-4), 247–265. doi: 10.1080/07380569.2010.523887
- Kim, M. C., & Hannafin, M. J. (2011). Scaffolding 6th graders' problem solving in technology-enhanced science classrooms: A qualitative case study. *Instructional Science*, 39(3), 255–282. doi: 10.1007/s11251-010-9127-4
- Kinnear, V. A. (2013). Young children's statistical reasoning: A tale of two contexts (Doctoral dissertation). Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/63496/>
- Kollöffel, B., & Jong, T. (2013). Conceptual understanding of electrical circuits in secondary vocational engineering education: Combining traditional instruction with inquiry learning in a virtual lab. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 102(3), 375–393. doi: 10.1002/jee.20022
- Kolmos, A. (2002). Facilitating change to a problem-based model. *International Journal for Academic Development*, 7(1), 63–74. doi: 10.1080/13601440210156484
- Krefting, L. (1991). Rigor in qualitative research: The assessment of trustworthiness. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 45(3), 214–222. doi: 10.5014/ajot.45.3.214
- Kubiátko, M. (2015). Is chemistry attractive for pupils? Czech pupils' perception of chemistry. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 11(4), 855–863. doi: 10.12973/eurasia.2015.1444a
- Lai, E. R. (2011). *Motivation: A literature review*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Lajoie, S. P. (1993). Computer environments as cognitive tools for enhancing learning. In S. P. Lajoie & S. J. Derry (Eds.), *Computers as cognitive tools* (pp. 261–288). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lam, P., Lam, S. L., Lam, J., & McNaught, C. (2009). Usability and usefulness of ebooks on ppcs: How students' opinions vary over time. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 25(1), 30–44. doi: 10.14742/ajet.1179
- Land, S. M., & Hannafin, M. J. (1997). Patterns of understanding with open-ended learning environments: A qualitative study. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 45(2), 47–73. doi: 10.1007/BF02299524
- Landoni, M. (2003). Electronic books. In J. Feather & P. Sturges (Eds.), *Routledge international encyclopaedia of information and library science* (pp. 168–171). London, UK: Taylor & Francis.
- Lau, J. (2008). Students' experience of using electronic textbooks in different levels of education. *Scroll*, 1(1).
- Le Giun, U. K. (1969). *The left hand of darkness*. New York, NY: Ace.
- Lee, H., & Bae, S. (2008). Issues in implementing a structured problem-based learning strategy in a volcano unit: A case study. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 6(4), 655–676. doi: 10.1007/s10763-007-9067-x
- Lee, H. J., Messom, C., & Kok-Lim, A. Y. (2013). Can an electronic textbooks be part of K–12 education?: Challenges, technological solutions and open issues. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 12(1), 32–44.

- Leite, L., Dourado, L. G. P., & Esteves, E. (2010). Relationships between students' reactions towards problem-based learning and their learning styles: Implications for science teaching and teacher education. In G. Mészáros & I. Falus (Eds.), *ATEE 2010 annual conference* (pp. 248–261). Budapest, Hungary: Association for Teacher Education in Europe.
- Lin, C. H. S., & Wen, L. Y. M. (2007). Academic dishonesty in higher education—a nationwide study in Taiwan. *Higher Education*, *54*(1), 85–97. doi: 10.1007/s10734-006-9047-z
- Lin, T. C., Tsai, C. C., Chai, C. S., & Lee, M. H. (2012). Identifying science teachers' perceptions of technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK). *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *22*(3), 325–336. doi: 10.1007/s10956-012-9396-6
- Liu, M., Horton, L., Lee, J., Kang, J., Rosenblum, J., O'Hair, M., & Lu, C.-W. (2014). Creating a multimedia enhanced problem-based learning environment for middle school science: Voices from the developers. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, *8*(1), 80–91. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1422
- Liu, M., Horton, L., Toprac, P., & Yuen, T. T. (2012). *Examining the design of media-rich cognitive tools as scaffolds in a multimedia problem-based learning environment*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Liu, M., Hsieh, P., Cho, Y., & Schallert, D. (2006). Middle school students' self-efficacy, attitudes, and achievement in a computer-enhanced problem-based learning environment. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, *17*(3), 225–242.
- Liu, M., Navarrete, C. C., Scordino, R., Kang, J., Ko, Y., & Lim, M. (2016). Examining teachers' use of iPads: Comfort level, perception, and use. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *48*(3), 159–180. doi: 10.1080/15391523.2016.1175853
- Liu, M., Williams, D., & Pedersen, S. (2002). Alien rescue: A problem-based hypermedia learning environment for middle school science. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, *30*(3), 255–270. doi: 10.2190/X531-D6KE-NXVY-N6RE
- Liu, M., Wivagg, J., Geurtz, R., Lee, S.-T., & Chang, H. M. (2012). Examining how middle school science teachers implement a multimedia-enriched problem-based learning environment. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, *6*(2), 46–84. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1348
- Lloyd-Jones, G., Margetson, D., & Bligh, J. (1998). Problem-based learning: A coat of many colours. *Medical Education*, *32*(5), 492–494.
- Mackenzie, N., & Knipe, S. (2006). Research dilemmas: Paradigms, methods and methodology. *Issues in Educational Research*, *16*(2), 193–205.
- Macnish, J., Bate, F., & Stewart, N. (2017). Using e-textbooks to support problem-based learning in science: Learning from the journey. *Proceedings of the 2017 9th International Conference on Education Technology and Computers*. (pp. 111–116). doi: 10.1145/3175536.3175550
- MacQuarrie, S., Howe, C., & Boyle, J. (2012). Exploring the characteristics of small groups within science and English secondary classrooms. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, *42*(4), 527–546. doi: 10.1080/0305764X.2012.733345

- Makrakis, V., & Kostoulas-Makrakis, N. (2017). An instructional-learning model applying problem-based learning enabled by ICTs. In P. Anastasiades & N. Zaranis (Eds.), *Research on e-learning and ICT in education* (pp. 3–16). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Malik, S., & Sharma, U. (2016). Augmentation of technology enhanced learning in 21st century education system. *Journal of Indian Education*, 42(1), 93–117.
- Malterud, K. (2001). Qualitative research: Standards, challenges, and guidelines. *The Lancet*, 358(9280), 483–488. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)05627-6
- Maor, D. (2017). Using TPACK to develop digital pedagogues: A higher education experience. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 4(1), 71–86.
- Marcangelo, C., & Gibbon, C. (2009). Problem based learning evaluation toolkit. Retrieved from <http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/183/1/pbltoolkitsept09.pdf>
- Marchant, G. J. (1989). Strobe: A classroom-on-task measure. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Mid-Western Educational Research Association*. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED312308>.
- Marsden, H., Carroll, M., & Neill, J. T. (2005). Who cheats at university? A self-report study of dishonest academic behaviours in a sample of Australian university students. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 57(1), 1–10. doi: 10.1080/00049530412331283426
- Mayer, R. E. (2003). The promise of multimedia learning: Using the same instructional design methods across different media. *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 125–139. doi: 10.1016/S0959-4752(02)00016-6
- Mayer, R. E., Bove, W., Bryman, A., Mars, R., & Tapangco, L. (1996). When less is more: Meaningful learning from visual and verbal summaries of science textbook lessons. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 88(1), 64–73.
- Mayer, R. E., & Wittrock, M. C. (1996). Problem-solving transfer. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 47–62). New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Maynard, S., & Cheyne, E. (2005). Can electronic textbooks help children to learn? *The Electronic Library*, 23(1), 103–115. doi: 10.1108/02640470510582781
- McCabe, D. L., Butterfield, K. D., & Trevino, L. K. (2012). *Cheating in college: Why students do it and what educators can do about it*. Baltimore, MD: JHU Press.
- McCabe, D. L., & Trevino, L. K. (1997). Individual and contextual influences on academic dishonesty: A multicampus investigation. *Research in Higher Education*, 38(3), 379–396. doi: 10.1023/A:1024954224675
- McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. (2012). *Conducting educational design research*. Oxford, UK: Routledge.
- McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. C. (2013). Systematic review of design-based research progress: Is a little knowledge a dangerous thing? *Educational Researcher*, 42(2), 97–100. doi: 10.3102/0013189X12463781
- McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. C. (2014). Educational design research. In M. Spector, D. Merrill, J. Elen & M. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (pp. 131–140). London, UK: Springer.
- McMurray, A., Scott, D., & Pace, R. W. (2004). *Research: A commonsense approach*. Southbank, Victoria: Thompson Learning Australia.

- Meier, S. L., Hovde, R. L., & Meier, R. L. (1996). Problem solving: Teachers' perceptions, content area models, and interdisciplinary connections. *School Science and Mathematics, 96*(5), 230–237. doi: 10.1111/j.1949-8594.1996.tb10234.x
- Merrett, F. (2006). Reflections on the Hawthorne effect. *Educational Psychology, 26*(1), 143–146. doi: 10.1080/01443410500341080
- Merriam, S. B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Merritt, J., Lee, M. Y., Rillero, P., & Kinach, B. M. (2017). Problem-based learning in K–8 mathematics and science education: A literature review. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning, 11*(2). doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1674
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record, 108*(6), 1017–1054.
- Mishra, P., Koehler, M. J., & Kereluik, K. (2009). The song remains the same: Looking back to the future of educational technology. *TechTrends, 53*(5), 48–53. doi: 10.1007/s11528-009-0325-3
- Mohammadi-Aragh, M. J., & Williams, C. (2013). Student attention in unstructured-use, computer-infused classrooms. *Proceedings of the 120th American Society of Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition*. (pp. 23–26). Retrieved from <https://peer.asee.org/collections/23>.
- Morrow, S. L. (2005). Quality and trustworthiness in qualitative research in counseling psychology. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 52*(2), 250–260. doi: 10.1037/0022-0167.52.2.250
- Mueller, P. A., & Oppenheimer, D. M. (2014). The pen is mightier than the keyboard: Advantages of longhand over laptop note taking. *Psychological Science, 25*(6), 1159–1168. doi: 10.1177/0956797614524581
- Nett, U. E., Goetz, T., Hall, N. C., & Frenzel, A. C. (2012). Metacognitive strategies and test performance: An experience sampling analysis of students' learning behavior. *Education Research International, 2012*, 1–16. doi: 10.1155/2012/958319
- Neville, A. J. (1999). The problem-based learning tutor: Teacher? Facilitator? Evaluator? *Medical Teacher, 21*(4), 393–401. doi: 10.1080/01421599979338
- Newman, M. J. (2005). Problem-based learning: An introduction and overview of the key features of the approach. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Education, 32*(1), 12–20. doi: 10.3138/jvme.32.1.12
- Nicholas, A. J., & Lewis, J. K. (2009). The net generation and e-textbooks. *International Journal of Cyber Ethics in Education (IJCEE), 1*(3), 70–77. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2684862
- Noble, H., & Smith, J. (2015). Issues of validity and reliability in qualitative research. *Evidence-Based Nursing, 18*(2), 34–35. doi: 10.1136/eb-2015-102054
- Noor-Ul-Amin, S. (2013). An effective use of ICT for education and learning by drawing on worldwide knowledge, research, and experience: ICT as a change agent for education. *Scholarly Journal of Education, 2*(4), 38–45.

- Nordin, N. M. (2012). Wikis as tools for computer-supported collaborative learning and knowledge sharing in schools (Doctoral dissertation). University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia. Retrieved from <http://repository.uwa.edu.au/R/EFIMVVR3GYQSAX6BSNYI62X7DDL2PV8PM1XPR7IJF93MXYQX-00747?func=results-full>
- O'Leary, M. (2014). *Classroom observation: A guide to the effective observation of teaching and learning*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- O'Malley, K. J., Moran, B. J., Haidet, P., Seidel, C. L., Schneider, V., Morgan, R. O., Kelly, P. A., & Richards, B. (2003). Validation of an observation instrument for measuring student engagement in health professions settings. *Evaluation & the Health Professions*, 26(1), 86–103. doi: 10.1177/0163278702250093
- Ofstad, W., & Brunner, L. J. (2013). Team-based learning in pharmacy education. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 77(4), 1–11. doi: 10.5688/ajpe77470
- Okebukola, P. A. (1985). The relative effectiveness of cooperative and competitive interaction techniques in strengthening students' performance in science classes. *Science Education*, 69(4), 501–509. doi: 10.1002/sce.3730690406
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., Dickinson, W. B., Leech, N. L., & Zoran, A. G. (2009). Toward more rigor in focus group research: A new framework for collecting and analyzing focus group data. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(3), 1–21.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., Leech, N. L., & Collins, K. M. (2010). Innovative data collection strategies in qualitative research. *Qualitative Report*, 15(3), 696–726.
- Osborne, J., Simon, S., & Collins, S. (2003). Attitudes towards science: A review of the literature and its implications. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(9), 1049–1079. doi: 10.1080/0950069032000032199
- Ozgur, S. (2013). The persistence of misconceptions about the human blood circulatory system among students in different grade levels. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 8(2), 255–268. doi: 10.12973/ijese.2013.206a
- Pease, M. A., & Kuhn, D. (2011). Experimental analysis of the effective components of problem-based learning. *Science Education*, 95(1), 57–86. doi: 10.1002/sce.20412
- Pecore, J. L. (2012). Beyond beliefs: Teachers adapting problem-based learning to preexisting systems of practice. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 7(2), 7–33. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1359
- Pedersen, S. (2003). Motivational orientation in a problem-based learning environment. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, 14(1), 51–77.
- Pintrich, P. R., & De Groot, E. V. (1990). Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82(1), 33–40.
- Porter, S. (2007). Validity, trustworthiness and rigour: Reasserting realism in qualitative research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 60(1), 79–86. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04360.x
- Pragmatism. (2012). In *Collins English Dictionary* (12 ed.). Glasgow, UK: Harper Collins.
- Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants *On the Horizon*, 9(5), 1–6. doi: 10.1108/10748120110424816

- Pretz, J. E., Naples, A. J., & Sternberg, R. J. (2003). Recognizing, defining, and representing problems. In J. Davidson & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *The psychology of problem solving* (pp. 3–30). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Ravitz, J. (2009). Introduction: Summarizing findings and looking ahead to a new generation of PBL research. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 3(1), 4–11. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1088
- Ravitz, J., & Blazevski, J. (2010). Assessing the impact of online technologies on PBL use in us high schools. In M. Simonson (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Annual Meetings of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology*. (pp. 177–189). Retrieved from <http://www.bie.org/images/uploads/general/8e4c7685f4d8c5cce96a452793d9f980.pdf>.
- Reeves, T. C. (2006). Design research from a technology perspective. In J. V. d. Akker, K. Gravemeijer, S. McKenney & N. Nieveen (Eds.), *Educational design research* (pp. 52–66). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Rittle-Johnson, B., Siegler, R. S., & Alibali, M. W. (2001). Developing conceptual understanding and procedural skill in mathematics: An iterative process. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93(2), 346–362. doi: 10.1037/0022-0663.93.2.346
- Ritzhaupt, A. D., Liu, F., Dawson, K., & Barron, A. E. (2013). Differences in student information and communication technology literacy based on socio-economic status, ethnicity, and gender: Evidence of a digital divide in Florida schools. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 45(4), 291–307. doi: 10.1080/15391523.2013.10782607
- Rivers, K., & Koedinger, K. R. (2014). Automating hint generation with solution space path construction. In S. Trausan-Matu, K. Boyer, M. Crosby & K. Panourgia (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems*. (pp. 329–339). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-07221-0_41
- Rongbuttsri, N., Khalid, S., & Ryberg, T. (2011). ICT support for students' collaboration in problem and project based learning. In J. Davies, E. De Graaff & A. Kolmos (Eds.), *PBL across the disciplines* (pp. 351–363). Aalborg, Denmark: Aalborg University.
- Rozenszayn, R., & Assaraf, O. B.-Z. (2011). When collaborative learning meets nature: Collaborative learning as a meaningful learning tool in the ecology inquiry based project. *Research in Science Education*, 41(1), 123–146. doi: 10.1007/s11165-009-9149-6
- Ryu, S., & Sandoval, W. A. (2015). The influence of group dynamics on collaborative scientific argumentation. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 11(2), 335–351. doi: 10.12973/eurasia.2015.1338a
- Sale, J. E., & Brazil, K. (2004). A strategy to identify critical appraisal criteria for primary mixed-method studies. *Quality and Quantity*, 38(4), 351–365. doi: 10.1023/B:QUQU.0000043126.25329.85
- Sana, F., Weston, T., & Cepeda, N. J. (2013). Laptop multitasking hinders classroom learning for both users and nearby peers. *Computers & Education*, 62, 24–31. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.003
- Savery, J. R. (2006). Overview of problem-based learning: Definitions and distinctions. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 1(1), 9–20. doi: 10.7771/1541–5015.1002

- Savery, J. R. (2015). Overview of problem-based learning: Definitions and distinctions. In A. Walker, H. Leary, C. E. Hmelo-Silver & P. A. Ertmer (Eds.), *Essential readings in problem-based learning* (pp. 5–15). West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.
- Savin-Baden, M., & Major, C. H. (2004). *Foundations of problem-based learning*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill International.
- Saye, J., & Brush, T. (2001). The use of embedded scaffolds with hypermedia-supported student-centered learning. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, *10*(4), 333–356.
- Saye, J. W., & Brush, T. (1999). Student engagement with social issues in a multimedia-supported learning environment. *Theory & Research in Social Education*, *27*(4), 472–504. doi: 10.1080/00933104.1999.10505891
- Saye, J. W., & Brush, T. (2002). Scaffolding critical reasoning about history and social issues in multimedia-supported learning environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *50*(3), 77–96. doi: 10.1007/BF02505026
- Schmidt, H. G., Rotgans, J. I., & Yew, E. H. (2011). The process of problem-based learning: What works and why. *Medical Education*, *45*(8), 792–806. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.04035.x
- Schwarz, N., & Skurnik, I. (2003). Feeling and thinking: Implications for problem solving. In J. Davidson & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *The psychology of problem solving* (pp. 263–292). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Scott, K. S. (2014). A multilevel analysis of problem-based learning design characteristics. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, *8*(2). doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1420
- Seaton, D. M., & Carr, D. (2005). The impact of participation in an ancillary science and mathematics program (SEMAA) on engagement rates of middle school students in regular mathematics classrooms. *School Science and Mathematics*, *105*(8), 423–432. doi: 10.1111/j.1949-8594.2005.tb18062.x
- Sharan, S. (1980). Cooperative learning in small groups: Recent methods and effects on achievement, attitudes, and ethnic relations. *Review of Educational Research*, *50*(2), 241–271. doi: 10.3102/00346543050002241
- Shenton, A. K. (2004). Strategies for ensuring trustworthiness in qualitative research projects. *Education for Information*, *22*(2), 63–75. doi: 10.3233/EFI-2004-22201
- Shiratuddin, N., Hassan, S., & Landoni, M. (2003). A usability study for promoting econtent in higher education. *Educational Technology & Society*, *6*(4), 112–124.
- Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, *57*(1), 1–23. doi: 10.17763/haer.57.1.j463w79r56455411
- Shulman, L. S. (2005). Signature pedagogies in the professions. *Daedalus*, *134*(3), 52–59. doi: 10.1162/0011526054622015
- Simons, K. D., & Klein, J. D. (2007). The impact of scaffolding and student achievement levels in a problem-based learning environment. *Instructional Science*, *35*(1), 41–72. doi: 10.1007/s11251-006-9002-5
- Simons, K. D., Klein, J. D., & Brush, T. R. (2004). Instructional strategies utilized during the implementation of a hypermedia, problem-based learning environment: A case study. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, *15*(3), 213–233.

- Siraj-Blatchford, I., Sylva, K., Muttock, S., Gilden, R., & Bell, D. (2002). Researching effective pedagogy in the early years. Retrieved from <http://dera.ioe.ac.uk/4650/1/RR356.pdf>
- Skryabin, M., Zhang, J., Liu, L., & Zhang, D. (2015). How the ICT development level and usage influence student achievement in reading, mathematics, and science. *Computers & Education*, *85*, 49–58.
- Sobhanian, S. (2016). The effectiveness of interactive multimedia on student's comprehension of the process of the light independent reaction of photosynthesis (Doctoral dissertation). Curtin University, Perth, WA. Retrieved from <https://espace.curtin.edu.au/handle/20.500.11937/56464>
- Sockalingam, N. (2015). A design model for problem-based learning. In Y. H. Cho, I. S. Caleon & M. Kapur (Eds.), *Authentic problem solving and learning in the 21st century* (pp. 41–55). Singapore: Springer.
- Sockalingam, N., Rotgans, J. I., & Schmidt, H. G. (2011). The relationships between problem characteristics, achievement-related behaviors, and academic achievement in problem-based learning. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, *16*(4), 481–490. doi: 10.1007/s10459-010-9270-3
- Sockalingam, N., & Schmidt, H. G. (2011). Characteristics of problems for problem-based learning: The students' perspective. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, *5*(1), 6–33. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1135
- Sockalingam, N., & Schmidt, H. G. (2012). Characteristics of effective problems. In G. O'Grady, E. Yew, K. P. L. Goh & H. Schmidt (Eds.), *One-day, one-problem* (pp. 141–165). Singapore: Springer.
- Songer, N. B., & Linn, M. C. (1991). How do students' views of science influence knowledge integration? *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *28*(9), 761–784. doi: 10.1002/tea.3660280905
- Soppe, M., Schmidt, H. G., & Bruysten, R. J. (2005). Influence of problem familiarity on learning in a problem-based course. *Instructional Science*, *33*(3), 271–281. doi: 10.1007/s11251-004-7688-9
- Španović, S. (2010). Pedagogical aspects of e-textbooks. *Odgojne Znanosti*, *12*(2), 459–470.
- Starkey, L. (2010). Teachers' pedagogical reasoning and action in the digital age. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, *16*(2), 233–244. doi: 10.1080/13540600903478433
- Starks, H., & Brown Trinidad, S. (2007). Choose your method: A comparison of phenomenology, discourse analysis, and grounded theory. *Qualitative Health Research*, *17*(10), 1372–1380. doi: 10.1177/1049732307307031
- Steenbergen-Hu, S., Makel, M. C., & Olszewski-Kubilius, P. (2016). What one hundred years of research says about the effects of ability grouping and acceleration on K–12 students' academic achievement: Findings of two second-order meta-analyses. *Review of Educational Research*, *86*(4), 849–899. doi: 10.3102/0034654316675417
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1994). Grounded theory methodology: An overview. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 273–285). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Strobel, J., & Van Barneveld, A. (2015). PBL effectiveness, tensions, and practitioner implications. In A. Walker, H. Leary, C. E. Hmelo-Silver & P. A. Ertmer (Eds.), *Essential readings in problem-based learning* (pp. 355–372). West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.

- Sugrue, B. (1994). *Specifications for the design of problem-solving assessments in science* (Report No. 387). Los Angeles, CA: National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards and Student Testing.
- Sugrue, B. (1995). A theory based framework for assessing domain specific problem solving ability. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 14(3), 29–35. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-3992.1995.tb00865.x
- Sun, J., Flores, J., & Tanguma, J. (2012). E-textbooks and students' learning experiences. *Journal of Innovative Education*, 10(1), 63–77. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-4609.2011.00329.x
- Swarat, S., Ortony, A., & Revelle, W. (2012). Activity matters: Understanding student interest in school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49(4), 515–537. doi: 10.1002/tea.21010
- Tandogan, R. O., & Akinoglu, O. (2007). The effects of problem-based active learning in science education on students' academic achievement, attitude and concept learning. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 3(1), 71–81.
- Taskin, V., & Bernholt, S. (2014). Students' understanding of chemical formulae: A review of empirical research. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(1), 157–185. doi: 10.1080/09500693.2012.744492
- Tatli, Z., & Ayas, A. (2013). Effect of a virtual chemistry laboratory on students' achievement. *Educational Technology & Society*, 16(1), 159–170.
- Tay, L. Y., Lim, C. P., & Lim, S. K. (2015). Differences in ICT usage across subject areas: A case of an elementary school in Singapore. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 53(1), 75–94. doi: 10.1177/0735633115585930
- The Design-Based Research Collective. (2003). Design-based research: An emerging paradigm for educational inquiry. *Educational Researcher*, 32(1), 5–8. doi: 10.3102/0013189X032001005
- Thomas, E., & Magilvy, J. K. (2011). Qualitative rigor or research validity in qualitative research. *Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing*, 16(2), 151–155. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6155.2011.00283.x
- Thomson, S. (2015). Australian students in a digital world: Policy insights, issue 3. *Australian Council for Educational Research*. Melbourne, Victoria.
- Thorsteinsson, G., & Niculescu, A. (2013). Examining teachers' mindset and responsibilities in using ICT. *Studies in Informatics and Control*, 22(3), 315–322. doi: 10.24846/v22i3y201308
- Tilstone, C. (2012). *Observing teaching and learning: Principles and practice*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Tufford, L., & Newman, P. (2012). Bracketing in qualitative research. *Qualitative Social Work*, 11(1), 80–96. doi: 10.1177/1473325010368316
- Turner, S., Ireson, G., & Twidle, J. (2010). Enthusiasm, relevance and creativity: Could these teaching qualities stop us alienating pupils from science? *School Science Review*, 91(337), 51–57.
- Tzu, L. (2012). *Tao te ching: The ancient classic*. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Vasconcelos, C. (2012). Teaching environmental education through PBL: Evaluation of a teaching intervention program. *Research in Science Education*, 42(2), 219–232. doi: 10.1007/s11165-010-9192-3
- Vassiliou, M., & Rowley, J. (2008). Progressing the definition of “e-book”. *Library Hi Tech*, 26(3), 355–368. doi: 10.1108/07378830810903292

- Veletsianos, G., & Doering, A. (2010). Long-term student experiences in a hybrid, open-ended and problem based adventure learning program. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 26(2), 280–296. doi: 10.14742/ajet.1096
- Walker, A., & Leary, H. (2009). A problem based learning meta analysis: Differences across problem types, implementation types, disciplines, and assessment levels. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 3(1), 12–43. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1061
- Walker, A., Recker, M., Robertshaw, B., Olsen, J., Leary, H., Ye, L., & Sellers, L. (2011). Integrating technology and problem-based learning: A mixed methods study of two teacher professional development designs. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 5(2), 70–94. doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1255
- Wang, F., & Hannafin, M. J. (2005). Design-based research and technology-enhanced learning environments. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 53(4), 5–23. doi: 10.1007/BF02504682
- Wang, T. L., & Berlin, D. (2010). Construction and validation of an instrument to measure Taiwanese elementary students' attitudes toward their science class. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(18), 2413–2428. doi: 10.1080/09500690903431561
- Wastiau, P., Blamire, R., Kearney, C., Quittre, V., Van de Gaer, E., & Monseur, C. (2013). The use of ICT in education: A survey of schools in Europe. *European Journal of Education*, 48(1), 11–27. doi: 10.1111/ejed.12020
- Webb, N. M. (1982). Student interaction and learning in small groups. *Review of Educational Research*, 52(3), 421–445. doi: 10.3102/00346543052003421
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2000). Expectancy–value theory of achievement motivation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 68–81. doi: 10.1006/ceps.1999.1015
- Wijnia, L. (2014). Motivation and achievement in problem-based learning: The role of interest, tutors, and self-directed study (Doctoral dissertation). Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/1765/77158>
- Wilder, S. (2015). Impact of problem-based learning on academic achievement in high school: A systematic review. *Educational Review*, 67(4), 414–435. doi: 10.1080/00131911.2014.974511
- Williams, S. (2011). Engaging and informing students through group work. *Psychology Teaching Review*, 17(1), 24–34.
- Wong, K. K. H., & Day, J. R. (2009). A comparative study of problem-based and lecture-based learning in junior secondary school science. *Research in Science Education*, 39(5), 625–642. doi: 10.1007/s11165-008-9096-7
- Wood, E. (2004). Problem-based learning: Exploiting knowledge of how people learn to promote effective learning. *Bioscience Education*, 3(1), 1–12. doi: 10.3108/beej.2004.03000006
- Woody, W. D., Daniel, D. B., & Baker, C. A. (2010). E-books or textbooks: Students prefer textbooks. *Computers & Education*, 55(3), 945–948. doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2010.04.005
- Wragg, E. C. (1999). *An introduction to classroom observation*. London, UK: Routledge.

- Yeh, A. J.-C. (2007). Knowledge construction of 3d geometry in virtual reality microworlds (Doctoral dissertation). Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland. Retrieved from http://eprints.qut.edu.au/16648/1/Andy_Ju%2DChih_Yeh_Thesis.pdf
- Yeo, J., & Tan, S. C. (2014). Redesigning problem-based learning in the knowledge creation paradigm for school science learning. *Instructional Science*, 42(5), 747–775. doi: 10.1007/s11251-014-9317-6
- Zhang, K., & Bonk, C. J. (2008). Addressing diverse learner preferences and intelligences with emerging technologies: Matching models to online opportunities. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology*, 34(2). doi: 10.21432/T2530K