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What is the prevalence, and what are the clinical correlates, of insulin resistance in young people presenting for mental health care? A cross-sectional study

Elizabeth M Scott, Joanne S Carpenter, Frank Iorfino, Shane P M Cross, Daniel F Hermens, Jeanne Gehue, Chloe Wilson, Django White, Sharon L Naismith, Adam J Guastella, Ian B Hickie

ABSTRACT

Objectives To report the distribution and predictors of insulin resistance (IR) in young people presenting to primary care-based mental health services.

Design Cross-sectional.

Setting Headspace-linked clinics operated by the Brain and Mind Centre of the University of Sydney.

Participants 768 young people (66% female, mean age 19.7±3.5, range 12–30 years).

Main outcome measures IR was estimated using the updated homeostatic model assessment (HOMA2-IR). Height and weight were collected from direct measurement or self-report for body mass index (BMI).

Results For BMI, 20.6% of the cohort were overweight and 10.2% were obese. However, <1% had an abnormally high fasting blood glucose (>6.9 mmol/L). By contrast, 9.9% had a HOMA2-IR score >2.0 (suggesting development of IR) and 11.7% (n=90) had a score between 1.5 and 2. Further, there was a positive correlation between BMI and HOMA2-IR (r=0.44, p<0.001). Participants in the upper third of HOMA2-IR scores are characterised by younger age, higher BMIs and depression as a primary diagnosis. HOMA2-IR was predicted by younger age (β=0.19, p=0.001) and higher BMI (β=0.49, p<0.001), together explaining 22% of the variance (F(3,361)=52.1, p<0.001).

Conclusions Emerging IR is evident in a significant subgroup of young people presenting to primary care-based mental health services. While the major modifiable risk factor is BMI, a large proportion of the variance is not accounted for by other demographic, clinical or treatment factors. Given the early emergence of IR, secondary prevention interventions may need to commence prior to the development of full-threshold or major mood or psychotic disorders. Explanatory models include behaviourally linked risks (eg, daily tobacco smoking, reduced physical activity, increased sedentary behaviour, poor dietary habits and poor sleeping patterns), reduced access to healthcare and intrinsic central nervous system-driven perturbations of the hypothalamic–pituitary axis, sympathetic nervous system activation and immune–inflammatory systems.

INTRODUCTION

The shortened life expectancy (in the range of 15–25 years) for those who live with major mental illness is largely driven by premature cardiovascular disease (pCVD). pCVD is particularly notable for those with major depression, bipolar and psychotic disorders. Recently, greater emphasis has been placed on the role of metabolic dysfunction in pCVD. For middle-aged cohorts living with chronic mental illness, the focus is on the longitudinal relationships between weight gain, central obesity and progressive insulin resistance (IR). It is assumed that there is a long lag-time between adolescent-onset of the mental illness (as 75% of mental disorders commence before age 25 years) secondary weight gain and changes in body fat composition and later onset of metabolic
There is ongoing debate as to the role of exposure to psychotropic medications. In particular, second generation antipsychotics have been linked to an increase in a number of severe metabolic abnormalities, with these effects also being demonstrated in youth. Genetic studies have also identified a potential link between mood disorders and assorted cardiometabolic diseases, suggesting shared biological and pathophysiological mechanism.

In Australia, we now have a variety of different early intervention mental health services that are located at various points along the illness course. Consequently, an important additional goal of these programmes is to improve physical health outcomes and reduce risk factors to pCVD. Early psychosis services, and linked at-risk programmes, typically manage clients in their early adult years. By this point, however, high rates of tobacco smoking, being overweight or obese and exposure to psychotropic (antipsychotic and antidepressant) medications, are already common. Much current work is focused on modifying risks in this subpopulation of young people who are engaged with secondary care or more specialised mental health services.

Through the development of the headspace programme, however, we now have the opportunity for intervening earlier with a much larger at-risk population. These services engage younger cohorts (12–25 years) with a broader range of (subthreshold and full-threshold) anxiety, mood and psychotic syndromes. We have reported that this population also has some significant risk factors for pCVD, notably tobacco smoking, but that it is no more overweight than the general population. Additionally, rates of increased fasting blood glucose (FBG) are minimal and, at this stage, there is no correlation between increasing body mass index (BMI) and FBG. The homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA2-IR) is a promising measure to indicate risk for the development of metabolic dysfunction. Previous population-based reference ranges have generally been restricted to either child and adolescent (ie, under 18 years old) or adult samples. Suggested cut-offs for metabolic risk in these studies range from 1.2 to 5.2 and do not span the young adult population of interest here. Only one study reports on HOMA-IR in healthy young people (aged 17–24 years), suggesting cut-offs of 2.9 and 2.3 for females and males, respectively. However, it is unclear the extent to which the distribution of IR in young people with mental health problems presenting for care deviates from comparable population-based estimates.

Our earlier findings led us to suggest that, if we are to intervene early for metabolic dysfunction, we may need to consider alternative measures to BMI and FBG as the most useful tools for identifying individuals at highest risk of metabolic dysfunction or selecting cohorts for secondary prevention interventions. We hypothesised that a significant proportion of young people early in the course of their mental disorder are already developing IR as indicated by raised HOMA2-IR. Further, this perturbation may be predicted by a broader range of demographic, clinical or treatment factors. Consequently, in this report, we describe the distribution of HOMA2-IR in this large headspace-based population and explore predictors of being in the upper third of that distribution.

**METHODS**

**Cohort selection**

Study participants were drawn from a larger cohort of young people presenting to one of the Brain and Mind Centre’s youth mental health clinics that provide an integrated mix of primary-level services branded as headspace. These clinics attract young people with subthreshold and full-threshold mental disorders. Young people have been recruited to a research register for mood, psychotic, developmental and other mental disorders and participated in a systematic surveillance process for assessing risks to metabolic, immune or cardiovascular disease between January 2005 and December 2017. All young people received clinician-based case management and relevant psychological, social and/or medical interventions over the duration of their time in care. Individuals were included in the present study if they (1) were aged between 12 and 30 years of age at the time of initial assessment and (2) agreed to undertake systematic clinical and laboratory screening for metabolic disturbance and risk to cardiovascular disease. Exclusion criteria were (1) lack of capacity to give informed consent (as determined by a psychiatrist), (2) clinically evident intellectual disability and/or insufficient English to participate in the research protocol or (3) current medical treatment for diabetes, thyroid or other active autoimmune disorders. All participants (or their guardians if under 16 years old) gave written informed consent for the use of routinely collected clinical data for research purposes.

As this is a high risk group for the development of metabolic disease, and we have previously shown FBG alone to be insufficient as a screening instrument, it is standard practice to monitor FBG and insulin in this cohort. Participants were included in this sample if a valid FBG and fasting insulin recording was available. Other clinical and metabolic measures were utilised when available.

**Patient and public involvement**

IBH is a member of the National Mental Health Commission, which has direct patient and family membership, and has prioritised a research effort to better inform practice that promotes detection and active management of risk factors to, and development of physical health problems, in patients with mental ill-health. IBH has also worked extensively with the National Heart Foundation to examine the links between depression and cardiovascular disease and to improve integrated medical and psychiatric care. Our headspace centres have an active patient advisory panel who are consulted with regard to the development and application of research projects.
within our service. This particular work is supported by funding directly from a patient and family foundation, that supports our centre to investigate metabolic, hormonal and other physical health problems in young people with severe mood disorders. The results of the study are provided back directly to our service managers, and through our young persons advisory group, back to the users of our services.

Clinical measures
Primary psychiatric diagnosis was assigned by the referring clinician or a research psychologist. Psychotropic medication use was obtained from clinician report, interview or self-report.

Metabolic measures
Pathology requests were made by the referring clinician to obtain FBG and fasting insulin values. IR was estimated using the updated homeostatic model assessment (HOMA2-IR) by iHOMA2 software V.8.8.47 Measures of height and weight were collected from direct measurement or self-report for BMI calculation using the formula: weight(kg) ÷ height(m)^2.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS V.24.0 IBM. Continuous measures are reported as mean±SD. Percentages are followed by n in brackets, that is, % (n). Between-group comparisons were performed using independent samples t-tests, one-way analysis of variance or χ^2 tests where relevant. Pearson’s correlations were used to examine associations between continuous variables.

As there are no currently established cut-offs for HOMA2-IR in this population, participants were split into groups based on tertiles of HOMA2-IR for comparisons across clinical, demographic and metabolic measures. In addition, participants were split into groups with HOMA2-IR <1.5, 1.5–2 and >2 to provide approximate comparisons to previously recommended HOMA-IR cut-offs. Multiple linear regression (using the ‘enter’ method) was used to quantify the relative associations between HOMA2-IR and any variables with significant associations at the univariate level. The following variables were log transformed prior to analyses to reduce positive skew: age, BMI, fasting glucose, fasting insulin and HOMA2-IR. All analyses were two tailed and employed an α level of 0.05.

RESULTS
The key measures of FBG and fasting insulin were available on 768 young people (66% female (n=510), mean age 19.7±3.5, range 12–30 years). These were used to calculate HOMA2-IR. For other measures: BMI was available on 364; details of concurrent psychotropic medication on 380; and primary clinical diagnosis on 430.

Those with BMI available were significantly older than those without BMI available (20.1±3.6 compared with 19.3±3.3 years old, t (766)=2.98, p=0.003) but the two groups did not differ on gender distribution, FBG, fasting insulin or HOMA2-IR (all p>0.05). Similarly, those with primary diagnosis information available were significantly older than those without (20.2±3.2 years old, t (766)=1.7, p<0.001), and had lower fasting insulin (9.19±6.29 vs 9.97±6.7, t (766)=2.03, p=0.043) but did not differ on gender distribution, FBG, HOMA2-IR or BMI (all p>0.05). Likewise, those with medication information available were significantly older than those without (20.1±3.6 compared with 19.3±3.3 years old, t (766)=3.3, p=0.001) but did not differ on gender distribution, FBG, fasting insulin, HOMA2-IR or BMI (all p>0.05).

For BMI, 9.9% (n=36) of participants were underweight (defined as <18.5), 59.3% (n=216) were in the normal range, 20.6% (n=75) were overweight (defined as >25) and 10.2% (n=37) were obese (defined as >30). Less than 1% of individuals (n=6) had an abnormally high FBG (>6.9 mmol/L) and only 1.4% (n=11) had FBG in the elevated range (5.6–6.9 mmol/L). For fasting insulin, 3.4% (n=26) recorded an abnormally low level (<25 mU/L) and 9.5% (n=75) had fasting insulin in the elevated range (15–25 mU/L). The distribution of HOMA2-IR is shown in figure 1.

The relationships between HOMA2-IR, split into tertiles and other demographic and clinical variables are shown in table 2. Of interest, those in the upper third of the HOMA2-IR distribution are characterised by younger age, higher BMIs, a lower proportion of persons with anxiety as a primary diagnosis and a higher proportion of persons with depression as a primary diagnosis (but

percentages are followed by n in brackets, that is, % (n).
not bipolar or psychotic disorders). There were no clear relationships with specific psychotropic medication use. The overall regression model predicting HOMA2-IR from age and BMI was significant ($F_{2,361}=60.55, p<0.001$) and explained 25% of the variance in HOMA2-IR. Both younger age ($\beta=-0.27, b=-0.78, 95\% \text{CI } -1.04$ to $-0.51, p<0.001$) and higher BMI ($\beta=0.51, b=1.23, 95\% \text{CI } 1.04$ to $1.51, p<0.001$) were significant predictors.

**DISCUSSION**

This study of a large number of young people presenting to primary care-based mental health services reports the distribution of HOMA2-IR scores. These individuals present early in the clinical course of a broad admixture of anxiety, depressive, other mood or psychotic disorders and are known to be at high risk of developing metabolic disorders and pCVD. Despite receiving medical and psychological treatments, at this stage of illness the cohort has comparable proportions who are overweight (21%) or obese (10%) to age-matched controls. In this cohort, <1% of individuals had an abnormally high FBG (>6.9 mmol/L) and only 1.4% had FBG in the elevated range (5.6–6.9 mmol/L). Further, there was no specific correlation between BMI and FBG.

By contrast, using HOMA2-IR as the index measure of developing IR, 10% have a score >2 and 22% have a score >1.5. Independent population-based studies of young people suggest that these ranges indicate being at risk of developing clinically significant IR. Mean HOMA2-IR in the present study was slightly lower than previous population-based estimates in 18-year to 19-year olds and metabolically healthy 17-year to 24-year olds, as well as adult samples. This indicates that, on average, the young people in the current study are not yet presenting with abnormal IR and associated risk for pCVD. Given the known elevated metabolic risk in this population of young people with mental illness, more research is needed to further elucidate early indicators of metabolic risk.

Consistent with other reports in young people, there is a significant correlation between BMI and HOMA2-IR ($r=0.44, p<0.001$) in the present study, and HOMA2-IR is best predicted by higher BMI and lower age, together

![Figure 1. Distribution of HOMA2-IR values. HOMA2-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance.](image-url)
explaining 25% of the variance. In this cohort, being in the upper third of HOMA2-IR scores is predicted by higher BMI, younger age and having a primary diagnosis of depression. Reports in older population-based studies have noted associations between depressive symptoms and measures of IR.50 Previous research reports a transient increase in IR in early puberty, 51 52 which may partially explain the association between younger age and IR in the present study.

Notably, being in the upper third of HOMA2-IR is not predicted by specific medication use, including exposure to antidepressant or antipsychotic medications. When interpreting these findings, it is important to consider that drugs of different pharmacological classes (antidepressants, antipsychotics, mood stabilisers and so on) as well as those within the same class are associated with differential degrees of metabolic risk.53 54 Moreover, comparative to those undergoing pharmacotherapy for extensive periods of time, antipsychotic-naïve and first-episode patients may be more vulnerable to more immediate and aggressive cardiometabolic side effects.55 Another possibility is that there are other unexpected relationships between those receiving pharmacotherapy and baseline BMI (eg, reluctance to prescribe specific medications (eg, mirtazapine, olanzapine) in those who are already overweight or obese). Clearly, those who are already overweight or obese may be at increased risk of developing IR if they are subsequently exposed to those

Table 1 Number of cases in each BMI category and HOMA2-IR category above and below 1.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Underweight, n=36</th>
<th>Normal weight, n=216</th>
<th>Overweight, n=75</th>
<th>Obese, n=37</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOMA2-IR &lt;1.5 (n)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total sample</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within BMI category</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
<td>18.7±3.3</td>
<td>19.8±3.4</td>
<td>21.4±3.3</td>
<td>22.6±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% female)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential recommendations</td>
<td>PWG</td>
<td>WR, IA, AM-IR</td>
<td>WR, IA, AM-IR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA2-IR &gt;1.5 (n)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of total sample</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within BMI category</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean±SD)</td>
<td>14.8±1.7</td>
<td>19.2±3.2</td>
<td>18.7±3.5</td>
<td>22.6±4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% female)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential recommendations</td>
<td>AM-IR</td>
<td>PWG, IA, AM-IR</td>
<td>WR, IA, AM-IR</td>
<td>WR, IA, AM-IR, Med</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total n=364.
HOMA2-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; AM-IR, annual monitoring of insulin resistance (using HOMA2-IR); IA, increased physical activity; Med, medications, for example, metformin; PWG, prevent wt gain; WR, weight reduction.
have a decreased risk of depression over time.57 Further evidence suggests that the metabolically healthy but obese individuals may be less sedentary and more physically active,56 and may also have a decreased risk of depression over time.57 Further higher IR levels have been reported previously in metabolic disturbance. If we are to develop effective interventional and secondary prevention programmes to reduce the risk of pCVD, and specifically emerging metabolic abnormalities, we need to track a direct measure—such as BMI or FBG—rather than indirectly (and quickly) to emerging IR rather than indirectly (and slowly) via weight gain.23 24

### Table 2: Comparison of measures between HOMA2-IR tertiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Lower tertile (HOMA2-IR: 0.36–0.78) (n=259)</th>
<th>2. Middle tertile (HOMA2-IR: 0.78–1.24) (n=257)</th>
<th>3. Higher tertile (HOMA2-IR: 1.24–7.41) (n=252)</th>
<th>Statistics (ANOVA or χ²)</th>
<th>Significant pairwise comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>20.5±3.3</td>
<td>19.7±3.3</td>
<td>18.8±3.6</td>
<td>F(2,765)=1260.51, p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% female)</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>χ²=0.8, p=0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.8±3.2</td>
<td>23.5±4.6</td>
<td>26.4±6.8</td>
<td>F(2,765)=23.8, p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.5±0.4</td>
<td>4.7±0.4</td>
<td>4.9±0.8</td>
<td>F(2,765)=40.67, p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA2-IR</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>2.0±0.9</td>
<td>F(2,765)=1260.51, p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Anxiety</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>χ²=8.2, p=0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Depression</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>χ²=8.5, p=0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Bipolar</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>χ²=1.1, p=0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Psychosis</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>χ²=2.3, p=0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Any medication</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>χ²=1.1, p=0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Antidepressants</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>χ²=0.8, p=0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Antipsychotics</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>χ²=0.1, p=0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Mood stabilisers</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>χ²=3.2, p=0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; HOMA2-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance.

antidepressants or antipsychotics that have been specifically associated with weight gain or rapid onset of IR.

Due to the limitations of the clinical information collected pertaining to specific medication subtypes, doses and duration of medication use; no definitive conclusions pertaining to the effects of specific medications in predicting HOMA2-IR can be drawn from this cross-sectional study.

An important subgroup identified here was those who did not have evidence of IR despite being overweight (16%) or obese (4%). Other studies suggest that the metabolically healthy but obese individuals may be less sedentary and more physically active,56 and may also have a decreased risk of depression over time.57 Further higher IR levels have been reported previously in metabolically unhealthy obese individuals.58 Our study is limited by its cross-sectional design which does not allow assessment of longitudinal predictive relationships. In addition, potential selection bias due to the help-seeking nature of participants is likely. While the total sample with fasting insulin and glucose measures was large, additional clinical and metabolic measures were limited by the availability of the data collection method, and a measure of pubertal stage was not available. The results indicate that the sample with these measures was older than those without, which may also bias the findings. However, it should be noted that there were no significant differences between these groups on gender distribution, or fasting insulin and glucose measures.

Current intervention programmes that only measure BMI or FBG, as well as focusing clinical outcome measurement on known risk factors (eg, reducing weight or increasing activity) do not have the capacity to assess effects on emerging IR, and thus it is not known whether such interventions are impacting the emergence of IR. Similarly, the impacts of prescribing specific medications (notably specific antidepressants or antipsychotics) to individuals at risk may well be contributing directly (and quickly) to emerging IR rather than indirectly (and slowly) via weight gain.23 24

Reliance on measures such as BMI or FBG appear to be inadequate means of tracking these changes. However, the optimal choice of objective measures can only be resolved by larger longitudinal cohort, and relevant interventional studies. Future studies would benefit from a longitudinal design and the

other factors may be driving this risk—such as perturbed circadian dysfunction, 24-hour sleep–wake and activity patterns, concurrent inflammatory or immune processes or dietary patterns, independent of specific diagnostic status.16 19 60

This study is limited by its cross-sectional design which does not allow assessment of longitudinal predictive relationships. In addition, potential selection bias due to the help-seeking nature of participants is likely. While the total sample with fasting insulin and glucose measures was large, additional clinical and metabolic measures were limited by the availability of the data collection method, and a measure of pubertal stage was not available. The results indicate that the sample with these measures was older than those without, which may also bias the findings. However, it should be noted that there were no significant differences between these groups on gender distribution, or fasting insulin and glucose measures.
inclusion of additional physical health measures such as smoking status, dietary patterns, inflammatory and activity patterns.
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