2018

Mental wellbeing in non-ambulant youth with neuromuscular disorders: What makes the difference?

Vivienne Travlos

The University of Notre Dame Australia, vivienne.travlos@nd.edu.au

Jenny Downs

Andrew Wilson

Dana Hince

The University of Notre Dame Australia, dana.hince1@nd.edu.au

Shane Patman

The University of Notre Dame Australia, shane.patman@nd.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article

Part of the [Physical Therapy Commons](#), and the [Physiotherapy Commons](#)

This article was originally published as:

Travlos, V., Downs, J., Wilson, A., Hince, D., & Patman, S. (2018). Mental wellbeing in non-ambulant youth with neuromuscular disorders: What makes the difference?. *Neuromuscular Disorders, Early View (Online First)*.

Original article available here:

[10.1016/j.nmd.2018.08.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2018.08.013)

This article is posted on ResearchOnline@ND at
https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article/151. For
more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.

©2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

This is the accepted manuscript version of an article published as:

Travlos, V., Downs, J., Wilson, A., Hince, D., and Patman, S. (2018) Mental wellbeing in non-ambulant youth with neuromuscular disorders: What makes the difference? *Neuromuscular Disorders, Early View Online First*. doi: 10.1016/j.nmd.2018.08.013

This article has been published in final form at
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2018.08.013>

TITLE: Mental wellbeing in non-ambulant youth with neuromuscular disorders: What makes the difference?

Vivienne Travlos^a, Jenny Downs^{b, c}, Andrew Wilson^d, Dana Hince^e & Shane Patman^a

- a. School of Physiotherapy, University of Notre Dame Australia, PO BOX 1225 Fremantle, Western Australia, 6959 Australia. vivienne.travlos@nd.edu.au;
shane.patman@nd.edu.au
- b. Telethon Kids Institute, Perth Children's Hospital, 15 Hospital Avenue, Nedlands, Western Australia, Australia. Jenny.Downs@telethonkids.org.au
- c. School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Western Australia, 6102 Australia.
- d. Respiratory Medicine, Perth Children's Hospital, 15 Hospital Avenue, Nedlands, Western Australia, 6009 Australia. andrew.wilson@health.wa.gov.au
- e. Institute of Health Research, University of Notre Dame Australia, PO BOX 1225 Fremantle, Western Australia, 6959 Australia. Dana.hince1@nd.edu.au

Corresponding Author :

Vivienne Travlos

School of Physiotherapy

University of Notre Dame Australia,

PO BOX 1225, Fremantle, WA, 6959

Australia.

Email: vivienne.travlos@nd.edu.au

Phone: +61 415 301 635 ; Fax: +61 8 9433 0556

Financial Disclosures: None to declare

Conflicts of interest: None

Contributors:

All authors contributed to the design of the study, analysis and preparation of the manuscript. VT conducted the study and wrote the original version of the manuscript. All authors have reviewed the final version.

Highlights

1. Non-ambulant youth with NMD rated their mental wellbeing higher than youth in the general population.
2. More frequent health complaints were associated with lower mental wellbeing.
3. Mental wellbeing was not independently associated with physical health variables.
4. Mental wellbeing was independently associated with academic achievement and family support.
5. Enabling youths' educational attainment and attending to social support may optimise youth's wellbeing.

ABSTRACT

The physical and social challenges associated with neuromuscular disorders may impact mental wellbeing in non-ambulant youth during the more vulnerable period of adolescence. This cross-sectional survey investigated non-ambulant youths' mental wellbeing and relationships with physical health, participation and social factors. The conceptual model was the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). Thirty-seven youth aged 13 – 22 years old (mean age 17.4 years; n = 30 male; n = 24 Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy) and their parents provided biopsychosocial data through a comprehensive self-report questionnaire. The primary outcome measure was the Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale (WEMWBS). Relationships between mental wellbeing and variables within and across each ICF domain were explored using linear regression models. Mean WEMWBS scores (55.3/70 [SD 8.1]) were higher than for typically developing youth and comparable to youth with other chronic conditions. Over half of youth reported severe co-morbidities across all body systems. Multivariable modelling indicated that mental wellbeing was independently associated with academic achievement and perceived family support but not with physical health variables. Beyond management of physical co-morbidities, enabling youths' educational attainment and attending to social support likely optimises youth's wellbeing.

Keywords

Neuromuscular disorders; youth; non-ambulant; well-being; comorbidity; participation

Abbreviations

AC-QoL Adult Carer Quality of Life Questionnaire

ICF – International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health

EK2 – Egen Klassifikation Scale Version 2

HBSC MQ – Health Behaviour of School Aged Children study Measurement Question

MPSS – Measurement of Perceived Social Support

NIV – Non-invasive ventilation

PEG - Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy

QYPP – Questionnaire of Young People’s Participation

QoL – Quality of Life

WEMWBS - Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale

1. INTRODUCTION

Severe childhood onset neuromuscular disorders (NMD) such as Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) and Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) cause muscle weakness that precludes attainment of walking or necessitates wheelchair dependence usually by adolescence [1]. Physical health is compromised by lack of ambulation and progressive muscle weakness leading to co-morbidities across body systems [2]. Cardiorespiratory system co-morbidities restrict life expectancy [2, 3]. Survival well into adulthood is possible with timely provision and uptake of best practice interventions including use of corticosteroids, spinal fusion, and non-invasive ventilation (NIV) [4]. Whilst such intervention optimises physical health, initiation of NIV, for example, signifies the vulnerability of survival and may have adverse impacts on individual and family wellbeing [5].

Wellbeing encompasses domains of an individual's perception of their health, happiness and life satisfaction [6, 7]. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) [8] provides a framework to conceptualise the physical and social factors that may impact an individual's health and wellbeing. Mental wellbeing during adolescence appears particularly vulnerable, indicated by a sharp rise in mental disorders in typically developing youth [9]. Challenges specific to adolescence include navigating rapid physical changes, identity formation and increasing expectations of autonomy. Physical health and mental wellbeing may be especially vulnerable in non-ambulant youth with NMD whose additional challenges include living with a degenerative health condition, uncertain life expectancy, and limited physical autonomy. Despite an awareness of their physical health needs, non-ambulant youth with NMD described exercising autonomy in their choice to delay uptake of prescribed NIV when this

challenged their identity development [10]. Wellbeing in non-ambulant youth is not well understood; studies report wellbeing from poor to comparable to typically developing youth, depending on the measurement tool used [11].

Maintenance of physical health appears linked to health intervention uptake and self-management, which in youth with NMD's is positively associated with psychosocial factors including better parent mental health [12], ethnicity and socio-economic advantage [13], and in youth with a similarly deteriorating condition (cystic fibrosis) with greater parent support [14] and disease knowledge [15]. Positive findings are published for non-ambulant, ventilator dependent young adults with NMD, with reports that three in four parent caregivers rate their young adult with NMD in "good health" [16] and that wellbeing can be "(very) good" [17], though the latter was associated with having less pain and fatigue [18] and with having opportunities for social, recreational and educational participation [17, 19]. A broader baseline picture is needed of youths' own perspective of their mental wellbeing, physical health, participation and social factors. Exploration of associations of these factors may inform what makes the biggest difference to mental wellbeing in youth preparing to transition to adulthood [20].

This study aimed to (i) describe self-reported mental wellbeing in non-ambulant youth with NMD and compare to published data of other groups of adolescents, (ii) identify co-morbidities, participation and social factors associated with youth's mental wellbeing and (iii) explore within the ICF framework which factors may be independently associated with youths' mental wellbeing.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study design and participants

This study was a cross sectional survey. Eligible participants were youth (13 – 22 years old) with NMD who used wheelchairs full-time and their parents. Youth who were able to stand transfer and walk a few steps with support were excluded. Recruitment across Australia by mail-out invitation was conducted between September 2014 and July 2016 via eight patient organizations and five tertiary children's hospitals with neuromuscular clinics. Those indicating interest were asked to complete a paper-based questionnaire. All participants provided written, informed consent. Structured interviews were conducted by the first author as necessary to minimise missing data. Approvals were obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committees (HREC) of The University Notre Dame Australia (014122F), Princess Margaret Hospital for Children (2014103EP), the Women's and Children's Health Network (HREC/15/WCHN/23), the Sydney Children's Hospital Network (HREC.15.SCHN.194) and Children's Health Queensland (HREC/16/QRCH/31).

2.2 Measures

A comprehensive questionnaire that was a compilation of validated scales and de novo questions to measure each of the primary and independent variables was developed using the ICF domains as a framework (Fig. 1). Guidance with the content of the questionnaire was provided by expert clinicians and researchers through the Australasian Neuromuscular Network (www.ann.org.au) and the Treat-NMD Registry of Outcome Measures [21]. Four young adults with NMD piloted the questionnaire to identify any ambiguity with instructions or questions. Feedback was sought in regard to readability,

clarity, face validity, design and time taken to complete, with minor modification to the survey tool undertaken accordingly.

(insert Figure 1 here)

2.3 Primary outcome – Mental wellbeing

The Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale (WEMWBS) [22] is validated in typically developing youth [23] and asks participants to rate their thoughts and feeling “*in the last two weeks*” in 14 positively phrased questions capturing domains of life satisfaction, positive affect, meaning and purpose. Responses are rated on 5-point Likert scale ranging from “*none of the time*” to “*all of the time*”. These were summed to provide a score out of 70, where higher scores indicated greater mental wellbeing.

2.4 Independent variables

Activity (Function)

Activity was measured as physical ability utilising the Egen Klassification Scale Version 2 (EK2) [24]. Shown to be valid and reliable in non-ambulant individuals with NMD [25], responses are rated on a Likert scale and higher scores indicated greater physical ability.

Physical health - co-morbidities of body functions and structure

Participants rated frequency of health complaints on the Health Behaviour of School Aged Children Measurement Question 55 (HBSC MQ55) Symptom Check List [26, 27]. This scale asks about eight complaints (“*headache*”, “*stomach ache*”, “*backache*”, “*dizziness*”, “*feeling low*”, “*irritability or bad temper*”, “*nervousness*” and “*difficulties getting to sleep*”) over the previous six months on a 5-point scale (“*almost every day*” to “*rarely or never*”). Higher HBSC MQ55 scores indicated less frequent health complaints.

Cardiorespiratory system co-morbidities were categorised by the number of episodes of respiratory illness requiring antibiotic use in the previous 12 months, whether and when NIV had been issued, pattern of daily NIV use and the presence of a diagnosis of cardiomyopathy. Daytime fatigue was measured in three questions modified from a scale for typically developing school aged youth [28] (*“how often do you: 1. fall asleep during the day, 2. get drowsy during the day, 3. feel you need more sleep.”*). These questions were added as this information was not captured in the EK2 and MQ55 measures and on face validity they were reflective of fatigue irrespective of physical ability. Responses were summed and a higher score was used to indicate more frequent daytime fatigue.

Musculoskeletal system co-morbidities included: the total of fractures experienced at any age; scoliosis categorised as *“none”*, *“Cobb angle <40°”* and *“Cobb angle >40°”* with or without spinal fusion; the number of severe joint contractures totalled from responses to *“very tight”* descriptors of range of motion for shoulder elevation, elbow flexion and extension, hip extension, knee flexion and extension and ankle dorsiflexion.

Nutritional status was categorised by the need for oral supplementation and/or Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy (PEG) feeding to maintain body weight. Urinary continence issues were captured as the count of affirmative responses to five questions of issues experienced by wheelchair dependent youth: limited fluid intake (*“I don’t drink so I don’t have to go to the toilet”*), bladder emptying issues (difficulty passing urine and use of urinary catheter) and leaking (*“I leak urine because I often leave asking for help too late”* and *“I leak urine because I’m embarrassed/avoid asking for help”*). Constipation was categorised as *“none”* and *“occasional / frequent”* through affirmative answers to

questions of frequency and assistance with bowel voiding. These were modified from the Rome III Criteria for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders [29].

Youth described frequency of sleep discomfort (*"How often is comfort at night a problem"*, rated on 5-point Likert scale) and their parent reported frequency of attendance at night.

Participation

Frequency of participation in life situations specific to young people (home life, relationships, education, work, recreation and leisure, and autonomy) was measured using the Questionnaire of Young People's Participation (QYPP) [30]. Scores for each item were totalled for each category and higher scores reflected greater frequency of participation [31].

Personal factors

Descriptive variables of age, gender and date of wheelchair and ventilator issue were collected. Youth self-rated their academic achievement in comparison to their school peers as *"above average"* (*"My marks in most subjects are higher than those of most other kids at my school"*), *"average"* (*"My marks in most subjects are about in the middle compared to other kids at my school"*), *"below average"* or *"life skilled"*, where the latter described those enrolled in a modified curriculum in special needs classes or a special school. Specific knowledge around NMD was measured with 11 questions from the Care-NMD survey [32]. The number of affirmative *"yes, sufficiently"* responses were totalled (maximum 11) with a higher total indicating greater condition specific knowledge.

Environmental factors

Youth's perceived social support was measured using the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MPSS) [26] questions specific to friends and family. Responses to statements of support rated on a Likert scale from "*very strongly disagree*" to "*very strongly agree*" were totalled and higher scores indicated greater perceived support. Demographic information collected from parents/primary caregiver included family composition, highest education level, employment status and the number hours of external agency support. Parents reported parent-carer QoL using the Adult Carer Quality of Life Questionnaire (AC-QOL) [33], with higher scores indicating better carer QoL.

The questionnaire is available on request from the corresponding author.

2.5 Statistical analyses

Normally distributed data were expressed as means and standard deviations (SD), skewed data as medians and interquartile ranges (IQR), and categorical variables as frequencies and percentages. One-sample Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to compare WEMWBS median scores of youth with NMD in this study with median scores in a sample of typically developing youth reported by Clarke et al [23] and in samples of youth with chronic conditions reported by Merrick et al [34]. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for median differences were determined using bootstrapping procedure (1000 samples) [35].

Associations between WEMWBS scores and the independent variables were explored using linear regression models. A three-step modelling procedure was used: Step 1 investigated univariable associations between the independent variables and WEMWBS scores; Step 2 comprised a multivariable linear regression model between independent variables and WEMWBS scores *within* each ICF domain; Step 3 comprised a

multivariable linear regression model including significant variables from those identified in Step 2 *across all ICF domains*. A variable was considered significantly associated with the outcome if the Wald Chi-Square test of model effects returned $p < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were calculated using SPSS® Statistics (Version 24, IBM Corp, New York: USA).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Participant characteristics

(Insert Figure 2 about here)

Thirty-seven youth non-ambulant youth from across Australia returned complete data sets and were included in this analysis (Fig. 2). Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. Sixty five percent of participants lived with DMD ($n=24$). Youth grouped as 'other NMD's' included those diagnosed with SMA II ($n=3$, 2 female), Nemaline Rod Myopathy ($n=3$, female) and Congenital Muscular Dystrophy ($n=7$, 2 female). Youth had been full-time wheelchair users for at least 5 months prior to questionnaire completion. The EK2 scores did not significantly differ between diagnostic group, gender or age grouped by above and below Australian school leaving age (18 years old) (Table 1).

(Insert Table 1 about here)

3.2 Mental wellbeing

The WEMWBS scores were high with a mean of 55.3/70 (SD 8.1, range 39–68). Median WEMWBS scores for youth with NMD were more than five points higher than for typically developing youth and for youth with Autism Spectrum Disorder, but were similar to scores for youth with Cerebral Palsy or Diabetes (Table 2).

(Insert Table 2 about here)

3.3 Co-morbidities, participation and social factors

Over half of youth reported severe comorbidity in one or more body systems (Table 3). Feeling nervous (n=10, 27%), backache and difficulty getting to sleep (n=9, 24% each) and stomach ache (n=6, 16%) were frequently reported as occurring “*more than once a week*” and “*about every day*” (Fig. 3). Two thirds (n=25) used NIV, with time since NIV issue ranging from 1 month to 14.7 years. Over half (n=21, 57%) reported no acute respiratory illness requiring antibiotic use in the prior 12 months. Twelve youth (32%; 7 with DMD, 5 with other NMD’s) were unsure of their cardiac status. Over half (n=21, 57%) had experienced fractures ranging from one to seven fractures in any bone. A minority (n=6) required nutritional supplementation to maintain their body weight. Sufficient condition specific knowledge was reported by most youth for “*breathing and chest health*” (n=34, 92%) and by a small proportion of youth for “*gastric tube/PEG placement in case of weight loss and difficulties with eating*” (n = 11, 30%).

(Insert Figure 3 about here)

Self-rated academic achievement was high, with 43% of youth (n = 16) rating their academic achievement as above average compared with their peers (Table 5). Frequency of participation was low across life areas, highest in ‘school or university life’ and lowest in ‘work life’ (Table 4). Only four participated in the workplace (2 paid and 2 volunteer), each for less than 10 hours per week. None of the five youth who had completed university, or Technical and Further Education held a formal job. Participation in ‘relationships’ was reported most frequently in using “*on-line communication*” (n = 29,

78%) and “*helping friends or family when they are upset (e.g. by listening to & supporting them)*” (n = 24, 65%) and least in “*spending time with a boyfriend/girlfriend*” (n = 6, 16%).

Youth perceived high levels of support; 21 (57%) “*very strongly agreed*” with all statements of their family’s support and 13 (35%) “*very strongly agreed*” with all statements of their friends’ support. There was diversity in social demographics, external agency care service provision and parents’ AC-QoL (Table 5).

3.4 Associations with mental wellbeing

The univariable relationships between WEMWBS scores and independent variables within each ICF domain are shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5. The WEMWBS scores were not associated with diagnostic group, EK2 scores, NIV issue (Table 3), age or gender (Table 5). Perceived above average academic achievement was associated with higher WEMWBS scores, on average almost 10 points higher compared to youth with average achievement (coefficient 9.50; 95% CI 4.87 to 14.13; $p = <0.001$). The WEMWBS scores of those reporting below average academic achievement was an average of six points lower than those reporting above average academic achievement ($p = 0.059$) (Table 5). More frequent participation in relationships was associated with greater WEMWBS scores (coefficient 0.41; 95% CI 0.07 to 0.75; $p = 0.017$) (Table 4).

(Insert Tables 3, 4 and 5 about here)

Within the *physical health* domain, more frequent health complaints, greater daytime fatigue, higher fracture frequency, need for nutritional supplementation, and discomfort during sleep were all associated with lower WEMWBS scores (Table 3). Accounting for the combined effects of these variables, none were independently associated with lower WEMWBS scores (Table 6).

For the environmental factor variables, having fewer siblings and greater perceived support from family and friends were associated with greater WEMWBS scores. There were very weak relationships with socioeconomic variables such as household composition and parental employment or education (Table 5). Greater perceived support from family remained independently associated with higher WEMWBS scores when the model accounted for the effects of number of siblings and friend support (Table 6).

Combining all significant variables identified in the multivariable within-ICF-domain models, the final multivariable model across all ICF domains found that WEMWBS scores were higher for above average academic achievement (Wald Chi-Square test of model effects 19.6 [2]; $p < 0.001$) and perceived support by family (Wald Chi-Square test of model effects 12.6 [1]; $p < 0.001$).

(Insert Table 6 about here)

4. DISCUSSION

This study sought to describe non-ambulant youths' mental wellbeing and factors that may make the biggest difference to their wellbeing. We found that youth reported relatively high mental wellbeing compared with the general population, despite more than half experiencing severe co-morbidities across cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, renal and gastrointestinal systems. Above average academic achievement and greater perceived family support influenced mental wellbeing the most, beyond effects of physical co-morbidities.

Mental wellbeing scores of youth in this study were comparable to youth with other chronic physical conditions such as cerebral palsy and diabetes [34] and higher than reported in typically developing youth [23] and youth with autism spectrum disorder [34]. Whilst this finding might seem somewhat surprising, it reaffirms the ‘disability paradox’ whereby mental wellbeing does not have a linear association with severity of physical disability [16, 17]. One explanation suggested by youths’ qualitative accounts is that youth with chronic conditions who are aware of their physical difference, vulnerability and uncertain life expectancy from an early age use very different reference points by which to judge their health, happiness and life satisfaction [10, 36]. An alternative consideration is that physically dependent youth may also experience psychological dependence, thus being less inclined to demonstrate typically adolescent oppositional behaviour such as through reporting lower life satisfaction or experiencing less autonomy to express negative aspects of their wellbeing [37]. Youths’ WEMWBS score distribution in our study was consistent with the comparable studies [23, 34], suggesting a believable range of mental health experiences.

We found that perceived family support had the strongest association with youths’ mental wellbeing. This finding is of interest alongside our univariable association of lower wellbeing in larger families. When perceived family support was higher, number of siblings was no longer an influential factor. Provision of individualised support may be more complex in larger families, but any attempt to explain how families managed their unique contexts is to undermine the diverse ways in which they learn to live with severe disability. With growing knowledge of caregiver burden in youth with NMD [38], studies that aim to disentangle how families manage youths’ health and support their mental wellbeing are fertile ground for future research.

The importance of supportive relationships to mental wellbeing is undisputed. Supportive relationships are likely also formed through social participation, reinforced by our finding that greater frequency of participation in relationships was associated with youth's greater mental wellbeing. Youth and families with NMD call for as much attention paid to participation as to medical intervention [39, 40] and it is likely that meaningful participation such as in team sports and social activities strengthens supportive relationships. These activities can contribute to individuals' health self-management through sharing ideas on managing and normalising the experience of living with NMD [41] with supportive peers. Youth with NMD have described balancing social participation with health self-management, for example by limiting their participation to avoid exposure to acute infections and to manage fatigue [10]. The finding that only 6 of the 37 youths had a boyfriend or girlfriend is in keeping with the reported experience of other youth with chronic conditions and consequently healthcare professionals are encouraged to have meaningful conversations with youth about developing healthy romantic relationships [42].

The association of greater wellbeing with higher academic achievement in non-ambulant youth with NMD in our study replicates findings by others [37, 43]. This finding may reflect the positive experiences in cognitively able youth who accept that their physical limitations preclude them from competing for physical achievement, and instead focus on and achieve success in academic pursuits. We did not collect intelligence quotient data and cannot be confident that our sample reflects the approximate quarter of youth with DMD with intellectual disability or specific learning disabilities [44, 45]. We also acknowledge that our opt-in sampling strategy likely biased our study to more able individuals but the small difference in wellbeing between the above average and below

average academic achievers suggests the needs of those with greater educational difficulties were met. Since youths' mental wellbeing is strengthened by their perceived support by their friends who may be their intellectual peers, then it is important that individuals be challenged to achieve according to their intellectual abilities. Enabled educational attainment appears a protective factor of health and wellbeing in youth with chronic conditions in the period of transition to adulthood [46]. It is positive that all but one older youth in our study participated in tertiary education, yet the minimal participation in the workplace in volunteer and paid employment is disappointing, given that all but two youth (who were under the age of 14 years old) were eligible for paid jobs. Accounts of contributions of employed, cognitively able young adults with NMD [41, 47] suggest efforts made to enable education and workplace participation are worthwhile.

Contrary to our study hypothesis, respiratory co-morbidities and use of NIV were not associated with mental wellbeing. In terms of frequency of respiratory illness, the current sample appeared fairly healthy and condition specific knowledge was reported highest in relation to this body system. Youths' accounts of self-management in respiratory care may reflect greater uptake of best practice care, or the relatively more robust evidence informing respiratory care [48] than that informing other body systems. We found association of lower mental wellbeing in individuals with a greater number of fractures and this may be related to associated pain and lengthy recovery periods. Associations of other musculoskeletal pain, sleep discomfort and daytime fatigue with lower wellbeing in youth with NMD have been previously reported [18, 49] and may be interrelated. The finding that the wellbeing score of youth with no sleep discomfort was on average almost 10 points higher than those with sleep discomfort suggests benefits can be gained from

specifically attending to youths' pain, comfort and sleep. Taken together with problems with continence and constipation reported in over half of the sample, calls for coordinated, multidisciplinary patient education and management of body systems issues are reinforced [50, 51]. Further research should explore how multidisciplinary teams can provide such co-ordinated care that also maximises available time for educational attainment and meaningful participation, and strengthens supportive relationships.

Strengths and limitations

A strength of this study is the comprehensive description of the biopsychosocial profile of a group uniform by developmental age and physical ability receiving care in different health care contexts from across Australia. Patient reported outcome measures provide data from youths' own perspective that can be a powerful tool to guide shared decision making [52] and are favoured by regulatory agencies for monitoring the impact of healthcare on functioning and wellbeing [53]. Data collected in this study provide a baseline for further exploration of how youth with NMD manage age-specific issues faced in transition to adulthood.

There are however several limitations of this study. No studies could be sourced exploring the WEMWBS's minimal clinically important difference. Whilst the difference in WEMWBS scores of youth in this study compared to typically developing youth was statistically significant, it is not yet known whether or how this difference is clinically meaningful. Though youth were instructed to complete the WEMWBS independently and offered the option of electronic completion, we did not audit how many youth used an adult's physical assistance with completing the paper version and cannot know whether an adult's presence swayed youths' answers. Future studies with youth should audit and document the level of participants' physical independence in survey completion to

minimise risk of socially desirable answers. Choice of validated, standardised self-report measures of physical health (for example fatigue, bladder and bowel function) was restricted by their lack of relevance to individuals with limited physical ability. Cross sectional data cannot infer causative relationships, only associations. The risk of type two error is increased with small sample size, and other associations may be found or negated in larger samples. Opt-in recruitment required by Ethics Committees for this study may have favoured participation of youth and their families who were very motivated and had capacity to respond to a research invitation. That is, the sample may be over-representative of those within more confident, organised, supportive social environments in periods of stable health. Opt-out recruitment strategies may have given more youth and their families opportunity to consider study participation [54]. Development of robust measures relevant for youth with limited physical ability and their application to the same and similar populations are needed to validate current findings.

5. CONCLUSION

Our data provide a comprehensive picture of health and wellbeing across ICF domains in non-ambulant youth with NMD. Findings indicate that despite severe physical health co-morbidities and low frequency of participation, mental wellbeing can compare favourably to that of typically developing youth. The findings that severity of co-morbidity had little relationship with mental wellbeing should encourage healthcare professionals to review time spent focusing on co-morbidities; the proposition is strengthened that equal attention paid to youths' personal, educational and social contexts optimises wellbeing in non-ambulant youth with NMD in transition to adulthood.

Acknowledgements

We are sincerely grateful to:

- Participants and their families for their time and for the privilege of learning through their experiences;
- Young adults who piloted the survey and provided feedback throughout the study;
- Clinical and administrative staff of the patient organisations (Muscular Dystrophy Western Australia, Muscular Dystrophy Association of South Australia, Muscular Dystrophy New South Wales, Muscular Dystrophy Queensland, Muscular Dystrophy Australia Ltd, Duchenne Foundation, Spinal Muscular Atrophy Association Australia, FSHD Global, Muscular Dystrophy Association of New Zealand) and children's hospital neuromuscular clinics (Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, The Women's and Children's Hospital, Sydney Children's Hospital, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Royal North Shore Hospital, Lady Cilento Children's Hospital) who enabled recruitment to the MyLifeMyVoice study;
- Biostatisticians in the Institute of Health Research, University of Notre Dame Australia, Dr Paola Chivers and Prof Max Bulsara, for help with statistical analysis.

Funding

This work was supported by a Harold and Sylvia Rowell PhD Scholarship of the Muscular Dystrophy Association of Western Australia; and the Collaborative Research Network of The University of Notre Dame Australia.

References

- [1] Woodcock IR, Fraser L, Norman P, Pysden K, Manning S, Childs A-M. The prevalence of neuromuscular disease in the paediatric population in Yorkshire, UK; variation by ethnicity and deprivation status. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2016;58(8):877-83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.13096>
- [2] Bushby K, Finkel R, Birnkrant DJ, Case LE, Clemens PR, Cripe L, et al. Diagnosis and management of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, part 1: Diagnosis, and pharmacological and psychosocial management. *Lancet Neurol*. 2010;9(1):77-93. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422\(09\)70271-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(09)70271-6)
- [3] D'Amico A, Mercuri E, Tiziano FD, Bertini E. Spinal muscular atrophy. *Orphanet J of Rare Dis*. 2011;6:71. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-6-71>
- [4] Ryder S, Leadley RM, Armstrong N, Westwood M, de Kock S, Butt T, et al. The burden, epidemiology, costs and treatment for Duchenne muscular dystrophy: an evidence review. *Orphanet J of Rare Dis*. 2017;12(1):79. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-017-0631-3>
- [5] Mah JK, Thannhauser JE, McNeil DA, Dewey D. Being the lifeline: the parent experience of caring for a child with neuromuscular disease on home mechanical ventilation. *Neuromuscul Disord*. 2008;18(12):983-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2008.09.001>
- [6] Coverdale GE, Long AF. Emotional wellbeing and mental health: an exploration into health promotion in young people and families. *Perspect Public Health*. 2015;135(1):27-36. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1757913914558080>
- [7] Weinberg M, Tomy A. Community survey of young Victorians' resilience and mental wellbeing. Full report: part A and part B. Melbourne, Australia: Victorian Health Promotion Foundation; 2015.
- [8] World Health Organisation. What is ICF? ICF Education; 2015 [last accessed: 13.02.2017]. Available from: <http://icfeducation.org/about/what-is-icf/>
- [9] Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB, et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10036):2423-78. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)00579-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1)
- [10] Travlos V, Bulsara C, Patman S, Downs J. A fine balance and a shared learning journey: Exploring healthcare engagement through the experiences of youth with neuromuscular disorders. *NeuroRehab*. 2016;39(4):519-34. <https://doi.org/10.3233/nre-161383>
- [11] Travlos V, Patman S, Wilson A, Simcock G, Downs J. Quality of life and psychosocial wellbeing in youth with neuromuscular disorders who are wheelchair users: A systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2017;98(5):1104 - 017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2016.10.011>
- [12] Landfeldt E, Lindgren P, Bell CF, Guglieri M, Straub V, Lochmüller H, et al. Quantifying the burden of caregiving in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *J Neurol*. 2016;1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8080-9>
- [13] Hufton M, Roper H. Variations in Duchenne muscular dystrophy course in a multi-ethnic UK population: potential influence of socio-economic factors. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2017;59(8):837-42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.13460>
- [14] Shakkottai A, Kidwell KM, Townsend M, Nasr SZ. A five-year retrospective analysis of adherence in cystic fibrosis. *Ped Pulmonol*. 2015;50(12):1224-9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.23307>
- [15] Faint NR, Staton JM, Stick SM, Foster JM, Schultz A. Investigating self-efficacy, disease knowledge and adherence to treatment in adolescents with cystic fibrosis. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2017;53(5):488-93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.13458>
- [16] Landfeldt E, Lindgren P, Bell CF, Guglieri M, Straub V, Lochmüller H, et al. Health-related quality of life in patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a multinational, cross-sectional study. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2016;58(5):508-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12938>
- [17] Pangalila R, van den Bos GA, Bartels B, Bergen MP, Kampelmacher MJ, Stam HJ, et al. Quality of life of adult men with Duchenne muscular dystrophy in the Netherlands: implications for care. *J Rehabil Med*. 2015;47(2):161-6. <https://doi.org/10.2340/16501977-1898>
- [18] Pangalila RF, van den Bos GA, Bartels B, Bergen M, Stam HJ, Roebroek ME. Prevalence of fatigue, pain, and affective disorders in adults with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy and their associations with quality of life. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2015;96(7):1242-7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2015.02.012>
- [19] van Huijzen S, van Staa A. Chronic ventilation and social participation: experiences of men with neuromuscular disorders. *Scand J Occup Ther*. 2013;20(3):209-16. <https://doi.org/10.3109/11038128.2013.765033>
- [20] Carter B, Qualter P, Dix J. Social relationships, loneliness and adolescence: The potential for disruption by chronic illness. *J Child Health Care*. 2015;19(4):421-2. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367493515618477>

- [21] Treat-NMD Neuromuscular Network. Registry of Outcome Measures United Kingdom jamarau Administration Unification; 2014 [last accessed: January - June 2014]. Available from: <http://www.researchrom.com/>
- [22] Clarke A, Putz R, Friede T, Ashdown J, Adi Y, Martin S, et al. Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) acceptability and validation in English and Scottish secondary school students (The WAVES Project). Edinburgh: Warwick Medical School and Univeristy of Edinburgh; 2010.
- [23] Clarke A, Friede T, Putz R, Ashdown J, Martin S, Blake A, et al. Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS): validated for teenage school students in England and Scotland. A mixed methods assessment. *BMC public health*. 2011;11:487. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-487>
- [24] Werlauff U. Methods to assess physical functioning, and their clinical applicability, in patients with spinal muscular atrophy and congenital myopathy. Copenhagen: University of Copenhagen; 2013.
- [25] Fagoaga J, Girabent-Farrés M, Bagur-Calafat C, Febrer A, Steffensen BF. Functional assessment for people unable to walk due to Spinal Muscular Atrophy and Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. Translation and validation of the Egen Klassifikation 2 Scale for the Spanish population. *Rev Neurol*. 2015;60(10):439-46
- [26] Currie C, Inchley J, Molcho M, Lenzi M, Veselska Z, Wild F. Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study protocol: background, methodology and mandatory items for 2013/14 survey. St. Andrews: Child and Adolescent Health Research Unit; 2014.
- [27] Ravens-Sieberer U, Erhart M, Torsheim T, Hetland J, Freeman J, Danielson M, et al. An international scoring system for self-reported health complaints in adolescents. *European journal of public health*. 2008;18(3):294-9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckn001>
- [28] Drake C, Nickel C, Burduvali E, Roth T, Jefferson C, Badia P. The Pediatric Daytime Sleepiness Scale (PDSS): sleep habits and school outcomes in middle-school children. *Sleep Med*. 2003;26(4):455-8
- [29] McCrea GL, Miaskowski C, Stotts NA, Macera L, Hart SA, Varma MG. Review article: self-report measures to evaluate constipation. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2008;27(8):638-48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03626.x>
- [30] Tuffrey C, Bateman BJ, Colver AC. The Questionnaire of Young People's Participation (QYPP): a new measure of participation frequency for disabled young people. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2013;39(4):500-11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.12060>
- [31] Tuffrey C, Bateman BJ, Colver A. Questionnaire of Young People's Participation Version 2.0. Fareham, UK: Solent NHS Trust and Newcastle University; 2013.
- [32] Gramsch K, Kirschner J, Rodrigues M. Care-NMD Questionnaire about care for people with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. New Zealand version. 2012.
- [33] Elwick H, Joseph S, Becker S, Becker F. Manual for the Adult Carer Quality of Life Questionnaire (AC-QoL). London: The Princes Royal Trust for Carers; 2010.
- [34] Merrick H, McConachie H, Le Couteur A, Mann K, Parr JR, Pearce MS, et al. Characteristics of young people with long term conditions close to transfer to adult health services. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015;15:435. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-1095-6>
- [35] IBM Support. Obtaining a confidence interval for a median IBM 2016 [last accessed: 19.02.2018]. Reference #: 1476966. Historical Number:21267. Available from: <http://www-01.ibm.com/support/docview.wss?uid=swg21476966>.
- [36] Gibson BE, Zitzelsberger H, McKeever P. 'Futureless persons': shifting life expectancies and the vicissitudes of progressive illness. *Social Health and Illn*. 2009;31(4):554-68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2008.01151.x>
- [37] Vuillerot C, Hodgkinson I, Bissery A, Schott-Pethelaz A-M, Iwaz J, Ecochard R, et al. Self-perception of quality of life by adolescents with neuromuscular diseases. *J Adolesc Health*. 2010;46(1):70-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.05.005>
- [38] Magliano L, Patalano M, Sagliocchi A, Scutifero M, Zaccaro A, D'Angelo M G, et al. Burden, professional support, and social network in families of children and young adults with muscular dystrophies. *Muscle Nerve*. 2015;52(1):13-21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.24503>
- [39] Sonneveld HM, Strating MM, van Staa AL, Nieboer AP. Gaps in transitional care: what are the perceptions of adolescents, parents and providers? *Child Care Health Dev*. 2013;39(1):69-80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2214.2011.01354.x>
- [40] Nierse CJ, Abma TA, Horemans AMC, Van Engelen BGM. Research priorities of patients with neuromuscular disease. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2013;35(5):405-12. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2012.694964>
- [41] Lamb C, Peden A. Understanding the experience of living with spinal muscular atrophy: a qualitative description. *J Neurosci Nurs*. 2008;40(4):250-6

- [42] O'Dea SM, Shuttleworth RP, Wedgwood N. Disability, doctors and sexuality: Do healthcare providers influence the sexual wellbeing of people living with a Neuromuscular Disorder? *Sex Disabil.* 2011;30(2):171-85. 10.1007/s11195-011-9235-3
- [43] Young HK, Lowe A, Fitzgerald DA, Seton C, Waters KA, Kenny E, et al. Outcome of noninvasive ventilation in children with neuromuscular disease. *Neurol.* 2007;68(3):198-201. 10.1212/01.wnl.0000251299.54608.13
- [44] Cotton SM, Voudouris NJ, Greenwood KM. Association between intellectual functioning and age in children and young adults with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: further results from a meta-analysis. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2005;47(4):257-65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.2005.tb01131.x>
- [45] Battini R, Chieffo D, Bulgheroni S, Piccini G, Pecini C, Lucibello S, et al. Cognitive profile in Duchenne muscular dystrophy boys without intellectual disability: The role of executive functions. *Neuromuscul Disord.* 2018;28(2):122-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2017.11.018>
- [46] Wisk LE, Weitzman ER. Expectancy and achievement gaps in educational attainment and subsequent adverse health effects among adolescents with and without chronic medical conditions. *J Adolesc Health.* 2017;61(4):461 - 70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.04.006>
- [47] Hamilton MJ, Longman C, O'Hara A, Kirkpatrick M, McWilliam R. Growing up with spinal muscular atrophy with respiratory distress (SMARD1). *Neuromuscul Disord.* 2015;25(2):169-71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2014.10.005>
- [48] Hull J, Aniapravan R, Chan E, Chatwin M, Forton J, Gallagher J, et al. British Thoracic Society guideline for respiratory management of children with neuromuscular weakness. *Thorax.* 2012;67(Suppl 1):i1-i40. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-201964>
- [49] Read J, Simonds A, Kinali M, Muntoni F, Garraalda ME. Sleep and well-being in young men with neuromuscular disorders receiving non-invasive ventilation and their carers. *Neuromuscul Disord.* 2010;20(7):458-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2010.05.011>
- [50] Kraus D, Wong BL, Horn PS, Kaul A. Constipation in Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment. *J Pediatr.* 2016;171:183-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.12.046>
- [51] Moore GE, Lindenmayer AW, McConchie GA, Ryan MM, Davidson ZE. Describing nutrition in spinal muscular atrophy: A systematic review. *Neuromuscul Disord.* 2016;26:395 - 404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2016.05.005>
- [52] Noonan VK, Lyddiatt A, Ware P, Jaglal SB, Riopelle RJ, Bingham CO, et al. Montreal Accord on Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) use series – Paper 3: patient-reported outcomes can facilitate shared decision-making and guide self-management. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2017;89:125-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.04.017>
- [53] Bartlett SJ, Witter J, Cella D, Ahmed S. Montreal Accord on Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) use series – Paper 6: creating national initiatives to support development and use—the PROMIS example. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2017;89:148-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2017.04.015>
- [54] Langer T, Kirschner J. Outcomes in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: nature, nurture, culture—or all three? *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2017;59(8):780-1. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.13474>

6. FIGURES & TABLES

Fig. 1 Questionnaire variables within the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) framework

ACQoL – Adult Carer Quality of Life; HBSC – Health Behaviour of School Age Children study; MPSS – Measure of Perceived Social Support; MQ – Measurement Question; MSK – musculoskeletal; NIV - Non-invasive ventilation; NMD – Neuromuscular Disorder; PEG - Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy, QYPP – Questionnaire of Young People's Participation; WEMWBS – Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale.

Fig. 2 Participant flowchart

WEMWBS – Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale.

Fig. 3 Frequency of health complaints (N = 37)

Table 1
Participant characteristics (N=37)

Characteristic	Group	n (%)	EK2 ¹ Mean /53 (SD)	Test for difference, t (36)
Diagnosis	DMD	24 (65%) [all male]	33.4 (8.7)	0.27, p=0.79
	Other NMD	13 (35%) [7 female]	32.6 (8.3)	
Gender	Male	30 (81%)	33.0 (8.9)	-0.20, p=0.84
	Female	7 (18%)	33.7 (6.7)	
Age (year)	13-17	21 (57%)	34.0 (8.0)	0.71, p=0.49
	18-22	16 (43%)	32.0 (9.2)	

¹ EK2 - Egen Klassifikation 2 Scale, measure of physical ability (maximum score 53)

Table 2
Mental wellbeing median scores for youth with NMD compared with typically developing youth^a and youth with longstanding chronic conditions^b

		WEMWBS score Median [IQR]	Median difference: NMD and group of comparison	
			Median difference (95% CI)	p
Youth with NMD (n=37)		54 [49-62]		
Groups of comparison	Typically developing youth ^a (n=1517)	49 [43-54]	5.0 (3.0 to 10.0)	<0.001
	Autism Spectrum Disorder ^b (n=118)	47 [41-52]	7.0 (4.0 to 12.0)	<0.001
	Cerebral Palsy ^b (n=106)	53 [48-60]	1.0 (-2.0 to 6.0)	0.116
	Diabetes ^b (n=150)	53 [47-58]	1.0 (-2.0 to 6.0)	0.116

^aGroup data derived from Clarke et al [23].

^bGroup data derived from Merrick et al [34] ; CI – Confidence Interval; WEMWBS – Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale

Table 3 Univariable effects of ICF physical health variables on mental wellbeing

		N = 37	Mental Wellbeing WEMWBS scores	
Predictor Variable	(/scale max)	n (%) /Median [IQR]	β coefficients (95% CI)	p
HEALTH CONDITION				
Type of NMD	DMD	24 (65%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	Other NMD	13 (35%)	1.01 (-4.40 to 6.42)	0.715
ACTIVITY (FUNCTION)				
Egen Klassifikation 2 score (/53)		34.0 [26.5 – 39.0]	0.17 (-0.14 to 0.47)	0.282
PHYSICAL HEALTH				
HBSC MQ55 Frequency of health complaints (/40)		34.5 [29 – 36.5]	0.56 (0.17 to 0.95)	0.005
NIV issue	Yes	25 (68%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	No	12 (32%)	1.82 (-3.68 to 7.32)	0.516
Cardio-myopathy	Yes/unsure	27 (71%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	No	11 (29%)	3.63 (-1.91 to 9.16)	0.199
Daytime fatigue (/9)		2 [1 – 3]	-1.86 (-2.97 to -0.75)	0.001
Respiratory illness	None	21 (57%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	1-2	12 (32%)	0.67 (-4.99 to 6.32)	0.817
	≥ 3	4 (11%)	-2.58 (-11.11 to 5.94)	0.553
Deformity – severe contractures		1 [1 - 2]	-0.43 (-1.83 to 0.97)	0.550
Deformity - Spinal scoliosis	No scoliosis	10 (27%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	<40° Cobb angle	20 (54%)	-0.85 (-6.61 to 4.91)	0.772
	>40° Cobb angle	7 (19%)	-7.24 (-14.57 to 0.81)	0.053
Fractures (total number)		1 [0 - 2]	-1.46 (-2.80 to -0.08)	0.039
Nutritional supplement	None	31 (84%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	PEG/Oral supplement	6 (16%)	-8.08 (-14.60 to -1.56)	0.015
Urinary continence issues total no.		1 [0 - 1]	-2.25 (-5.52 to 1.02)	0.177
Constipation	Occasional / frequent	20 (54%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	Never	17 (46%)	3.96 (-1.07 to 8.99)	0.123
Sleep discomfort	Often	13 (35%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	Sometimes	20 (54%)	2.15 (-3.06 to 7.36)	0.764
	Never	4 (11%)	10.25 (1.88 to 18.62)	0.016
Parental assistance at night (n=35)	Never/occasional	18 (49%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	$\geq$ twice a night	17 (46%)	-4.34 (-9.45 to 0.77)	0.096

Bolded numbers indicate significant association (95% CI excluding zero)

HBSC MQ – Health Behaviour of School Age Children Measurement Question; NIV – non-invasive ventilation; PEG – Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy; WEMWBS – Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale

Table 4 Univariable effects of participation variables on mental wellbeing

		N = 37	Mental Wellbeing WEMWBS scores	
Predictor Variable	scale max)	n (%) / Median [IQR]	β coefficients (95% CI)	p
QYPP Home life	(/25)	2.0 [0 – 5.0]	0.06 (-0.48 to 0.59)	0.841
QYPP School/ university life (N = 29) ¹	(/25)	15.4 [11.3 – 18.5]	0.17 (-0.68 to 1.02)	0.699
QYPP Work life (N = 4) ²	(/16)	0.0 [0.0 – 0.0]	-	-
QYPP Relationships	(/48)	20.2 [12.5 – 24.6]	0.41 (0.07 to 0.75)	0.017
QYPP Leisure and recreation	(/84)	28.0 [23.0 – 32.0]	0.28 (-0.05 to 0.60)	0.095
QYPP Autonomy	(/16)	12.6 [10.0 – 13.8]	0.51 (-0.38 to 1.52)	0.239

¹Missing responses by 8 youth in older age group no longer in formal education.

²Domain not subjected to regression analysis due to low cell count.

QYPP – Questionnaire of Young People's Participation; WEMWBS – Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale

Table 5 Univariable effects of social context variables on mental wellbeing

		N = 37	Mental Wellbeing WEMWBS scores	
Predictor Variable (/x scale max)		n (%) / Median [IQR]	β coefficients (95% CI)	p
PERSONAL FACTORS				
Age		16.9 [15.7 – 18.8]	0.26 (-1.06 to 1.12)	0.963
Gender	Male	30 (81%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	Female	7 (19%)	-1.21 (-7.81 to 5.38)	0.718
Academic Achievement	Above average	16 (43%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	Average	16 (43%)	-9.50 (-14.13 to -4.87)	<0.001
	Below average/Life skilled	5 (14%)	-6.45 (-13.16 to 0.26)	0.059
Years since full-time wheelchair use		6.0 [4.5 – 11.0]	0.06 (-0.50 to 0.63)	0.825
Years since NIV issue (n = 25)		2.2 [1.3 – 6.5]	-0.01 (-0.77 to 0.76)	0.990
Condition specific knowledge (/11)		8.0 [5.0 – 9.0]	-0.26 (-1.20 to 0.69)	0.593
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS				
Household composition	Two parents	32 (86%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	Single parent	5 (14%)	-0.24 (-8.80 to 6.32)	0.748
Number of siblings (range 0 – 4)		1 [1 - 2]	-3.32 (-5.43 to -1.21)	0.002
Responding parent employed (N=35) ¹	Yes	24 (65%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	No	11 (30%)	-3.08 (-8.70 to 2.54)	0.282
Responding parent's education (N = 35) ¹	University trained	18 (49%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	Vocation trained	9 (24%)	-1.39 (-7.12 to 4.94)	0.667
	School only	8 (22%)	-3.04 (-9.63 to 3.55)	0.365
Perceived social support family (/28)		28 [26 - 28]	1.59 (0.76 to 2.42)	<0.001
Perceived social support friends (/28)		26 [23 - 28]	0.89 (0.26 to 1.53)	0.006
External agency care assistance hours (N =35) ¹	Daily	11 (30%)	0.0 (reference)	-
	A few times a week	15 (41%)	-3.76 (-9.85 to 2.34)	0.227
	None	9 (24%)	-1.42 (-8.33 to 5.48)	0.686
Parent Carer ACQoL (N = 35) ¹ (/114)		79.0 [64.5 – 88.5]	0.13 (-0.10 to 0.27)	0.069

Bolded numbers indicate significant association (95% CI excluding zero)

¹Missing parent completed data of 15yo and 19yo with other NMD.

ACQoL – Adult Carer Quality of Life Questionnaire; NIV – Non-Invasive Ventilation; WEMWBS – Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale

Table 6 Multivariable effects on mental wellbeing

		Mental Wellbeing WEMWBS scores			
		Multivariate STEP 2 findings combining significant variables WITHIN ICF domains		Multivariate STEP 3 findings combining significant variables ACROSS ICF domains	
Predictor Variable		β coefficients (95% CI)	p	β coefficients (95% CI)	p
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
HBSC MQ55 Health complaints		0.34 (-0.07 to 0.76)	0.106	-	--
Daytime fatigue (/9)		-0.52 (-1.73 to 0.69)-	0.401	-	-
Fracture frequency total no		-1.01 (-2.14 to -0.13)	0.083	-	-
Nutritional supplement	None	0.0 (reference)	-	-	-
	PEG/Oral supplement	-4.83 (-10.42 to 0.76)	0.090	-	-
Sleep discomfort	Often	0.0 (reference)	-	-	-
	Sometimes	1.12 (-3.63 to 5.88)	0.644	-	-
	Never	5.89 (-1.53 to 13.30)	0.120	-	-
PARTICIPATION					
QYPP Relationships		0.41 (0.74 to 0.75)	0.017	0.24 (-0.01 to 4.93)	0.057
PERSONAL FACTORS					
Academic Achievement	Above average (n=16)	0.0 (reference)	-	0.0 (reference)	-
	Average (n=16)	-9.50 (-14.13 to -4.87)	<0.001	-7.84 (-11.34 to -3.53)	<0.001
	Below average / Life skilled (n=5)	-6.45 (-13.16 to 0.26)	0.059	-3.38 (-9.04 to 2.28)	0.242
ENVIRONMENTAL/SOCIAL CONTEXT FACTORS					
Number of siblings (range 0 – 4)		-2.32 (-4.16 to -0.47)	0.014	-1.52 (-3.17 to 0.13)	0.071-
Perceived social support family		1.12 (-0.34 to 1.08)	0.005	1.17 (0.52 to 1.81)	<0.001
Perceived social support friends (/28)		0.52 (-0.03 to 1.01)	0.066	-	-

Bolded numbers indicate significant association (95% CI excluding zero)

HBSC MQ – Health Behaviour of School Age Children Measurement Question; WEMWBS – Warwick Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale